

# HYPERSPACES OF KELLER COMPACTA AND THEIR ORBIT SPACES

SERGEY A. ANTONYAN, NATALIA JONARD-PÉREZ AND SAÚL JUÁREZ-ORDÓÑEZ

ABSTRACT. A compact convex subset  $K$  of a topological linear space is called a Keller compactum if it is affinely homeomorphic to an infinite-dimensional compact convex subset of the Hilbert space  $\ell_2$ . Let  $G$  be a compact topological group acting affinely on a Keller compactum  $K$  and let  $2^K$  denote the hyperspace of all non-empty compact subsets of  $K$  endowed with the Hausdorff metric topology and the induced action of  $G$ . Further, let  $cc(K)$  denote the subspace of  $2^K$  consisting of all compact convex subsets of  $K$ . In a particular case, the main result of the paper asserts that if  $K$  is centrally symmetric, then the orbit spaces  $2^K/G$  and  $cc(K)/G$  are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

By a *Keller compactum* we mean a compact convex subset of a topological linear space that is affinely homeomorphic to an infinite-dimensional compact convex subset of the real separable Hilbert space  $\ell_2$  (see [8, Ch. III, § 3]). It is well known that every infinite-dimensional compact convex subset of an arbitrary Fréchet space (in particular, of a Banach space) is a Keller compactum (see [8, Ch. III, § 3, Proposition 3.1]).

The Hilbert cube  $Q$  is the simplest but most important example of a Keller compactum. It is the compact convex subset  $[-1, 1]^\infty = \prod_{n=1}^\infty [-1, 1]_n$  of the Fréchet space  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ , whose product topology is induced by the following standard metric:

$$\rho(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |x_n - y_n|, \quad x = (x_n), y = (y_n) \in Q.$$

It is well-known that the Hilbert cube  $Q$  is affinely homeomorphic to the compact convex subset

$$H = \{x \in \ell_2 \mid |x_n| \leq 1/n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

of the Hilbert space  $\ell_2$ , known under the name of a *fundamental parallelepiped* of  $\ell_2$  or *the Hilbert brick* (see [13, Ch. 3, § 11]).

In 1931, O. H. Keller [11] proved that every infinite-dimensional compact convex set in  $\ell_2$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube  $Q$ , and in 1955, V. L. Klee Jr. [12] extended this result to arbitrary normed linear spaces. Nevertheless, not all Keller compacta are affinely homeomorphic to each other [8, Ch. V, § 4]. Thus, besides the topological properties of  $Q$ , a Keller compactum carries an affine-topological structure. Furthermore, a continuous action of a topological group on a Keller compactum  $K$  broadens the structure of  $K$  to a geometric-topological one. In this sense, we study the affine-topological structure induced by a Keller compactum  $K$  in the hyperspaces  $2^K$  and  $cc(K)$  (which are defined below), as well as the topological structure of certain orbit spaces of the latter ones.

Throughout the paper  $K$  will denote a Keller compactum. As usual,  $2^K$  denotes the hyperspace of all non-empty compact subsets of  $K$  endowed with the topology induced

---

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A07, 46A55, 54B20, 54C55.

*Key words and phrases.* Keller compactum, Infinite-dimensional convex set, Hyperspace, Affine group, Orbit space,  $Q$ -manifold.

The first author and the third author were supported by CONACYT (México) grants 000165195 and 220836, respectively.

by the Hausdorff metric:

$$d_H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A), \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B) \right\}, \quad A, B \in 2^K,$$

where  $d$  is any compatible metric on  $K$ . Recall that all compatible metrics on  $K$  induce the same topology on  $2^K$  [16, Theorem 3.2].

By  $cc(K)$  we denote the subspace of  $2^K$  consisting of all compact convex subsets of  $K$ .

Let  $G$  be a compact topological group acting affinely on  $K$ , i.e., every  $g \in G$  satisfies the equality (2.2) below. In this paper we study the induced action of  $G$  on the hyperspaces  $2^K$  and  $cc(K)$ . Namely, the action of  $G$  on  $K$  induces a continuous action of  $G$  on  $2^K$ , which is given by the rule:

$$(1.1) \quad (g, A) \mapsto gA = \{ga \mid a \in A\}, \quad g \in G, \quad A \in 2^K.$$

Continuity of this action easily follows. Indeed, consider the map  $r : G \times 2^K \rightarrow 2^{G \times K}$  given by the rule  $r((g, A)) = \{g\} \times A$ . The action defined in the formula (1.1) can now be written as the composition  $\theta = 2^F \circ r$  where  $F : G \times K \rightarrow K$  is the action of  $G$  on  $K$ . Since  $r$  and  $2^F$  are continuous, the composition is also continuous.

Obviously, the hyperspace  $cc(K)$  is an invariant subset of  $2^K$  under the action (1.1).

By Curtis-Schori-West Hyperspace Theorem (see, e.g., [14, Theorem 8.4.5]),  $2^K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. It was proved in [15] that for any compact convex subset  $X$  of a locally convex metrizable linear space with  $\dim X > 1$ , the hyperspace  $cc(X)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. Since  $K$  is affinely homeomorphic to an infinite-dimensional compact convex subset  $V$  of  $\ell_2$ ,  $cc(K)$  is homeomorphic to  $cc(V)$  (see [16, Theorem 1.3]). Consequently, these two facts yield that  $cc(K)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.

Our interest in orbit spaces of hyperspaces of Keller compacta relies on the relationship between such classical objects like the Banach-Mazur compacta  $BM(n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , from one hand and the orbit spaces of certain geometrically defined hyperspaces of the Euclidean closed unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \leq 1\}$ , from the other hand (see [4]). Since the Keller compacta  $K$  are natural infinite-dimensional analogs of  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , studying the topological structure of orbit spaces of  $2^K$  and  $cc(K)$  with respect to compact topological groups acting affinely on  $K$  seems quite natural and interesting.

The main goal of this paper is to prove that if there exists a  $G$ -fixed point in the radial interior of  $K$  then the orbit spaces  $2^K/G$  and  $cc(K)/G$  are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube (see Theorem 4.1). In Corollary 4.4 we show that if  $K$  has a center of symmetry, then the latter one is fixed under every element  $g \in G$ . Since the Hilbert cube  $Q$  satisfies this condition, we get the homeomorphisms  $2^Q/G \cong Q$  and  $cc(Q)/G \cong Q$  (see Corollary 4.5). As a by-product, we give also a short and easy proof of the above mentioned homeomorphism  $cc(K) \cong Q$  for Keller compacta  $K$  with non-empty radial interior.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We refer the reader to the monographs [9] and [17] for basic notions of the theory of  $G$ -spaces. However, we recall here some special definitions and results that will be used throughout the paper.

All maps between topological spaces are assumed to be continuous. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between  $G$ -spaces is called  $G$ -equivariant (or simply equivariant) if  $f(gx) = gf(x)$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ .

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric  $G$ -space. If  $d(gx, gy) = d(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $g \in G$ , then we say that  $d$  is a  $G$ -invariant (or simply invariant) metric. That is, every  $g \in G$  is actually an isometry of  $X$  with respect to the metric  $d$ .

Let  $G$  be a compact group and  $X$  a metric  $G$ -space with an invariant metric  $d$ . It is well-known (see, e.g., [17, Proposition 1.1.12]) that the quotient topology of the orbit

space  $X/G$  is generated by the metric

$$d^*(G(x), G(y)) = \inf_{g \in G} d(x, gy), \quad G(x), G(y) \in X/G.$$

Evidently,

$$(2.1) \quad d^*(G(x), G(y)) \leq d(x, y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

For a given topological group  $G$ , a metrizable  $G$ -space  $X$  is called a  $G$ -equivariant absolute neighborhood retract (denoted by  $X \in G\text{-ANR}$ ) if for any metrizable  $G$ -space  $Z$  containing  $X$  as an invariant closed subset, there exist an invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $X$  in  $Z$  and a  $G$ -retraction  $r : U \rightarrow X$ . If we can always take  $U = Z$ , then we say that  $X$  is a  $G$ -equivariant absolute retract (denoted by  $X \in G\text{-AR}$ ).

A point  $x_0$  in a  $G$ -space  $X$  is called a  $G$ -fixed point if  $gx_0 = x_0$  for every  $g \in G$ .

A Hilbert cube manifold or a  $Q$ -manifold is a separable metrizable space that admits an open cover each member of which is homeomorphic to an open subset of the Hilbert cube  $Q$ . We refer the reader to [10] and [14] for the theory of  $Q$ -manifolds.

Let  $V$  and  $V'$  be convex subsets of linear spaces  $L$  and  $L'$  respectively. A map  $f : V \rightarrow V'$  is called *affine*, if for any  $n \geq 1$ , one has

$$(2.2) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f(x_i),$$

whenever  $x_i \in V$ ,  $t_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ .

A point  $x_0 \in K$  is said to be *internal* [8, Definition 4.2] if for every  $x \in K$ ,

$$\inf\{|t| \mid x_0 + t(x - x_0) \notin K\} > 0.$$

Equivalently,  $x_0 \in K$  is internal if for every  $x \in K$ , there exists  $t < 0$  such that  $x_0 + t(x - x_0)$  belongs to  $K$  (see [7, p. 162]).

The set of all internal points of  $K$  is called the *radial interior* of  $K$  and is denoted by  $\text{rint } K$ . The complement  $K \setminus \text{rint } K$  is called the *radial boundary* of  $K$  and is denoted by  $\text{rbd } K$ .

Whereas the radial boundary of any Keller compactum is a dense subset (see [8, Ch. V, §4, Corollary 4.2]), there exist Keller compacta with empty radial interior. An example of this is given in [8, p. 161]. However, if  $\text{rint } K \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad \text{rint } K = x_0 + [0, 1)(K - x_0)$$

for every  $x_0 \in \text{rint } K$  and it is also a dense subset of  $K$  [8, Ch. V, §4, Proposition 4.4].

Observe that the definition of  $\text{rint } K$  has been stated in affine topological terms. In particular, this notion is invariant under affine homeomorphism, i.e., if  $\xi : K \rightarrow V$  is an affine homeomorphism of two Keller compacta, then  $\xi(\text{rint } K) = \text{rint } V$ , or equivalently,  $\xi(\text{rbd } K) = \text{rbd } V$ .

It is clear that if a Keller compactum  $K$  has a center of symmetry, then it must belong to  $\text{rint } K$ .

Recall that a point  $x_0 \in K$  is a *center of symmetry* if for every  $x \in K$ , there exists a  $y \in K$  such that  $x_0 = (x + y)/2$ . If  $K$  admits a center of symmetry, then it is called *centrally symmetric*. It is well-known and easy to see that any centrally symmetric compact convex subset of a normed linear space has exactly one center of symmetry; we shall use this fact in the proof of Corollary 4.4 below.

The convex hull of a subset  $A \subset K$  will be denoted by  $\text{conv } A$ . Let  $d$  be a compatible metric on  $K$ . For any  $r > 0$  and  $A \in 2^K$ , we denote by

$$B(A, r) = \{x \in K \mid d(x, A) < r\} \quad \text{and} \quad C(A, r) = \{x \in K \mid d(x, A) \leq r\},$$

the open  $r$ -neighborhood and the closed  $r$ -neighborhood of  $A$  in  $K$ , respectively.

Let  $G$  be a topological group and  $L$  a real topological linear space. We call  $L$  a *linear  $G$ -space* if it is endowed with a linear action of  $G$ , i.e., if

$$g(\lambda x + y) = \lambda(gx) + gy$$

for every  $g \in G$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x, y \in L$ . If, in addition,  $L$  admits an invariant norm  $\|\cdot\| : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,

$$(2.4) \quad \|gx\| = \|x\|$$

for every  $g \in G$  and  $x \in L$ , then we call  $L$  a *normed linear  $G$ -space*. In this case, the metric induced by the norm is invariant:

$$(2.5) \quad \|gx - gy\| = \|g(x - y)\| = \|x - y\|.$$

If moreover  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space, then we call  $L$  a *Banach  $G$ -space*.

For a compact topological group  $G$ , we denote by  $C(G, L)$  the real topological linear space of all maps from  $G$  to  $L$  endowed with the compact-open topology. The action of  $G$  on  $C(G, L)$  is given by the rule:

$$(2.6) \quad (gf)(x) = f(xg), \quad g, x \in G, \quad f \in C(G, L),$$

(see [2, Proposition 4]). This action turns  $C(G, L)$  into a linear  $G$ -space. Furthermore, if  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space, then the supremum norm on  $C(G, L)$ :

$$(2.7) \quad \|f\| = \sup_{x \in G} \|f(x)\|,$$

is invariant. Indeed,

$$\|gf\| = \sup_{x \in G} \|f(xg)\| = \sup_{y \in G} \|f(y)\| = \|f\|.$$

Thus,  $C(G, L)$  becomes a Banach  $G$ -space. Observe that due to compactness of  $G$ , the topology induced by the norm (2.7) on  $C(G, L)$  is just the compact-open one.

Recall that a metric space  $X$  is called *continuum-connected* if each pair of points in  $X$  is contained in a subcontinuum.  $X$  is *locally continuum-connected* if it has an open base of continuum-connected subsets.

The following theorems will play an essential role in our proofs.

**Theorem 2.1** ([3, Theorem 8]). *Let  $G$  be a compact group and  $X$  a separable  $G$ -ANR (resp., a  $G$ -AR). Then the orbit space  $X/G$  is an ANR (resp., an AR).*

**Theorem 2.2** ([5, Proposition 3.1]). *Let  $G$  be a compact group and  $X$  a locally continuum-connected (resp., connected and locally continuum-connected) metrizable  $G$ -space. Then  $2^X$  is a  $G$ -ANR (resp., a  $G$ -AR).*

### 3. EQUIVARIANT AFFINE EMBEDDING IN A BANACH $G$ -SPACE

In this section we prove the following equivariant embedding result.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group acting affinely on a Keller compactum  $K$ . Then there is an affine equivariant embedding of  $K$  into a Banach  $G$ -space.*

*Proof.* Let  $h : K \rightarrow \ell_2$  be an affine embedding. Then,  $h$  induces an equivariant embedding  $\tilde{h} : K \rightarrow C(G, \ell_2)$  according to the rule:

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{h}(x)(g) = h(gx), \quad x \in K, \quad g \in G,$$

where  $C(G, \ell_2)$  is endowed with the linear action of  $G$  defined by the formula (2.6) (see [20, Theorem 2], cf. [2, Theorem 3]). Moreover, since  $\ell_2$  is a Banach space, the supremum norm on  $C(G, \ell_2)$  (see formula (2.7)) turns  $C(G, \ell_2)$  into a Banach  $G$ -space. Next, since  $G$  acts affinely on  $K$  and  $h$  is an affine map,  $\tilde{h}$  is also an affine map. Indeed, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_i \in K$  and  $t_i \geq 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ . Then for every  $g \in G$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i\right)(g) &= h\left(g \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i\right) = h\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i g x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i h(g x_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \left(\tilde{h}(x_i)(g)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(t_i \tilde{h}(x_i)\right)(g) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \tilde{h}(x_i)\right)(g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{h}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \tilde{h}(x_i),$$

showing that  $\tilde{h}$  is an affine map. Thus,  $K$  embeds as an invariant convex subset in the Banach  $G$ -space  $C(G, \ell_2)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group acting affinely on a Keller compactum  $K$ . Then  $K \in G$ -AR and consequently, the orbit space  $K/G \in \text{AR}$ .*

*Proof.* That  $K \in G$ -AR follows directly from Proposition 3.1 and [1, Theorem 2] (see also [2, Corollary 7]). Then, Theorem 2.1 implies that  $K/G \in \text{AR}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group that acts affinely on Keller compacta  $K$  and  $V$  and assume that  $\xi : K \rightarrow V$  is an affine  $G$ -equivariant homeomorphism. Then the induced hyperspace map  $2^\xi : (2^K, cc(K)) \rightarrow (2^V, cc(V))$  is a  $G$ -equivariant homeomorphism of the pairs yielding the homeomorphy of the respective  $G$ -orbit spaces. Furthermore, if there is a  $G$ -fixed point  $x_0 \in \text{rint } K$ , then  $\xi(x_0)$  is a  $G$ -fixed point belonging to  $\text{rint } V$ .*

*Proof.* By [16, Theorem 1.3], the hyperspace map  $2^\xi : 2^K \rightarrow 2^V$ , which is defined by  $2^\xi(A) = \xi(A)$ , is a homeomorphism. Since  $\xi$  is an affine map,  $2^\xi$  restricts to a homeomorphism  $2^\xi|_{cc(K)}$  from  $cc(K)$  onto  $cc(V)$ . Furthermore, the  $G$ -equivariance of  $\xi$  implies the  $G$ -equivariance of  $2^\xi$  and  $2^\xi|_{cc(K)}$ . Next, since  $x_0$  is a  $G$ -fixed point and  $\xi$  is equivariant,  $\xi(x_0)$  is also a  $G$ -fixed point. Finally, since the radial interior is invariant of an affine homeomorphism,  $x_0 \in \text{rint } K$  implies that  $\xi(x_0) \in \text{rint } V$ .  $\square$

#### 4. ORBIT SPACES OF $2^K$ AND $cc(K)$

In this section we prove the main result of the paper:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group acting affinely on a Keller compactum  $K$ . If  $K$  has a  $G$ -fixed point  $x_0 \in \text{rint } K$ , then the orbit spaces  $2^K/G$  and  $cc(K)/G$  are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.*

We begin with the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group acting affinely on a Keller compactum  $K$ . Then the orbit space  $cc(K)/G$  is a compact AR.*

*Proof.* Since the notions involved are affine-topological, we may assume that  $K \subset \ell_2$ . By [19, Lemma 2.1], the closed convex hull operator  $\text{con}\bar{\nu} : 2^K \rightarrow cc(K); A \mapsto \text{conv } A$ , is a (continuous) retraction. Since every  $g \in G$  preserves convex combinations, this retraction is an equivariant map. By Theorem 2.2,  $2^K$  is a compact  $G$ -AR. Hence,  $cc(K)$ , being an equivariant retract of  $2^K$ , is also a compact  $G$ -AR. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, the orbit space  $cc(K)/H$  is a compact AR.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *If there is a  $G$ -fixed point  $x_0 \in \text{rint } K$ , then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $G$ -equivariant maps  $\varphi, \psi : (2^K, cc(K)) \rightarrow (2^V, cc(V))$ ,  $\epsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $2^K$  such that  $\text{Im } \varphi \cap \text{Im } \psi = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $K$  is a compact convex set, there exists  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad d(x, x_0 + \lambda(x - x_0)) < \epsilon/2$$

for every  $x \in K$ .

Let  $\varphi : 2^K \rightarrow 2^K$  be defined by

$$\varphi(A) = x_0 + \lambda(A - x_0) = \{x_0 + \lambda(a - x_0) \mid a \in A\}, \quad A \in 2^K.$$

Then  $\varphi$  is continuous, since it is just the hyperspace map  $2^f$  of the map  $f : K \rightarrow K$  defined by  $f(x) = x_0 + \lambda(x - x_0)$  (see [14, §5.3]). Since  $G$  acts affinely on  $K$  and  $x_0$  is a  $G$ -fixed point, the map  $\varphi$  is  $G$ -equivariant, for if  $h \in G$  and  $A \in 2^K$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(hA) &= \{x_0 + \lambda(ha - x_0) \mid a \in A\} = \{h(x_0 + \lambda(a - x_0)) \mid a \in A\} \\ &= h\{x_0 + \lambda(a - x_0) \mid a \in A\} = h\varphi(A).\end{aligned}$$

To see that  $\varphi$  is  $\epsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $2^K$ , take  $A \in 2^K$ . Then

$$d(a, \varphi(A)) \leq d(a, x_0 + \lambda(a - x_0)) \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_0 + \lambda(a - x_0), A) \leq d(x_0 + \lambda(a - x_0), a)$$

for every  $a \in A$ . Consequently, by inequality (4.1),  $d_H(A, \varphi(A)) \leq \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$ .

Note that by equality (2.3),  $\varphi(A) \subset \text{rint } K$ . This yields that  $\varphi(A) \cap \text{rbd } K = \emptyset$  for every  $A \in 2^K$ .

Next, let  $\psi : 2^K \rightarrow 2^K$  be defined by

$$\psi(A) = \{x \in C \mid d(x, A) \leq \epsilon/2\}, \quad A \in 2^C.$$

Then  $\psi(A)$  is just the closed  $\epsilon/2$ -neighborhood of  $A$  in  $K$ . Continuity of  $\psi$  is a well known fact. Indeed, it follows from the inequality  $d_H(\psi(A), \psi(B)) \leq d_H(A, B)$  for all  $A, B \in 2^K$ , if we take into account that  $d$ , being induced by a norm, is a geodesic (or convex) metric (see [16, Proposition 10.5]).

The  $G$ -equivariance of  $\psi$  follows from the  $G$ -invariance of  $d$  (see the equalities (2.4) and (2.5)). Clearly,  $\psi$  is  $\epsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $2^K$ . Finally, since  $\text{rbd } K$  is dense in  $K$  (see [8, Ch. V, §4, Corollary 4.2]), we infer that  $\psi(A) \cap \text{rbd } K \neq \emptyset$  for every  $A \in 2^K$ . Therefore,  $\text{Im } \varphi \cap \text{Im } \psi = \emptyset$ , as required.

It remains to observe that  $\varphi(A) \in cc(K)$  whenever  $A \in cc(K)$ . On the other hand, since the metric in  $K$  is induced by a norm, the compact set  $\psi(A)$  is also convex for every  $A \in cc(K)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* By Proposition 3.1 and 3.3, we can assume that  $K$  is an invariant subset of a Banach  $G$ -space and  $K$  admits a  $G$ -fixed point  $x_0 \in \text{rint } K$ .

First we consider the case of  $2^K/G$ . It follows from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 that the orbit space  $2^K/G$  is a compact AR. Thus, by [10, Theorem 22.1], it remains to show that  $2^K/G$  is a  $Q$ -manifold. According to Toruńczyk's Characterization Theorem (see [22]), it suffices to show that there exist maps  $f_1, f_2 : 2^K/G \rightarrow 2^K/G$ , arbitrarily close to the identity map of  $2^K/G$  such that  $\text{Im } f_1 \cap \text{Im } f_2 = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By Lemma 4.3, there exist  $G$ -equivariant maps  $\varphi, \psi : 2^K \rightarrow 2^K$ ,  $\epsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $2^K$  with  $\text{Im } \varphi \cap \text{Im } \psi = \emptyset$ . Let  $\tilde{\varphi} : 2^K/G \rightarrow 2^K/G$  and  $\tilde{\psi} : 2^K/G \rightarrow 2^K/G$  be the maps induced by  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively (see [17, Proposition 1.1.17]). By inequality (2.1), the maps  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  are  $\epsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $2^K/G$ . Finally,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  have disjoint images, since  $\text{Im } \varphi \cap \text{Im } \psi = \emptyset$  and

$$\text{Im } \tilde{\varphi} \cap \text{Im } \tilde{\psi} = \frac{\text{Im } \varphi}{G} \cap \frac{\text{Im } \psi}{G} = \frac{\text{Im } \varphi \cap \text{Im } \psi}{G}.$$

This completes the proof for  $2^K/G$ .

For  $cc(K)/G$  the argument is quite analogous. Indeed, by Proposition 4.2, the orbit space is a compact AR. Then the restrictions  $\tilde{\varphi}|_{cc(K)/G}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}|_{cc(K)/G}$  are  $\epsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $cc(K)/G$  and have disjoint images. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is now complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $K$  be any centrally symmetric Keller compactum. Then the orbit spaces  $2^K/G$  and  $cc(K)/G$  are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.*

*Proof.* Let  $y_0 \in K$  be the (unique) center of symmetry of  $K$ . Hence, for every  $x \in K$ , there is a point  $y \in K$  such that  $y_0 = (x + y)/2$ . Then  $y_0$  belongs to the segment  $[y, x] \subset K$ , and thus,  $y_0 \in \text{rint } K$ . Uniqueness of the center of symmetry implies that  $y_0$  is a  $G$ -fixed point, for if there is an  $h \in G$  such that  $y_0 \neq hy_0$ , then there is a point  $x \in K$

such that for every  $y \in K$ ,  $hy_0 \neq (x + y)/2$ . Let  $z \in K$  be such that  $y_0 = (h^{-1}x + z)/2$ . Since  $G$  acts affinely on  $K$ , we have that  $hy_0 = (x + hz)/2$ , a contradiction. The result now follows from Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a compact group acting affinely on the Hilbert cube  $Q$ . Then the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  is the center of symmetry of  $Q$ , and hence, it is a  $G$ -fixed point. Due to a particular importance of this case, we state it as a separate corollary.

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group acting affinely on the Hilbert cube  $Q$ . Then the orbit spaces  $2^Q/G$  and  $cc(Q)/G$  are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.*

In connection with Theorem 4.1 we have the following remark.

**Remark 4.6.** *In case  $G$  is a compact Lie group acting non-transitively on a non-degenerate Peano continuum  $X$  (i.e., a locally connected compact metrizable space that contains more than one point), the following stronger result of the first author [6] is worth mentioning: the orbit space  $2^X/G$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.*

*However, since there exist compact non-Lie groups acting affinely on Keller compacta, the above Theorem 4.1 is not a particular case of this result. For instance, the closed subgroup of the group  $\text{Iso}(Q)$  of isometries of the Hilbert cube  $(Q, \rho)$  consisting of all isometries  $g : Q \rightarrow Q$  such that  $g(x)_n = \pm x_n$  for every  $x = (x_n) \in Q$ , is topologically isomorphic to the Cantor group  $\mathbb{Z}_2^\infty$ , which is not a Lie group.*

## 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND QUESTIONS

Typically, compact groups that act effectively and affinely on the Hilbert cube  $Q$  are the groups of affine isometries and their closed subgroups. More precisely, let  $\mathcal{H}(Q)$  denote the topological group of all homeomorphisms of the Hilbert cube  $Q$  equipped with the compact open topology. Denote by  $\text{Aff}(Q)$  the closed subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}(Q)$  consisting of all affine homeomorphisms. Further, for every compatible metric  $d$  on  $Q$ , the group  $\text{Iso}(Q, d)$  of all  $d$ -isometries of  $Q$  is a compact subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}(Q)$  (by the way, the compact open topology on  $\text{Iso}(Q, d)$  coincides with the topology of pointwise convergence). The intersection  $\text{Afis}(Q) = \text{Aff}(Q) \cap \text{Iso}(Q, d)$  is just the group of affine  $d$ -isometries of  $Q$ .

Clearly,  $\text{Afis}(Q)$  is a compact group which acts effectively on  $Q$  via the evaluation map, i.e.,  $g * x = g(x)$  for every  $g \in \text{Afis}(Q)$  and  $x \in Q$ . Moreover, any compact group  $G$  which acts effectively and affinely on  $Q$  is a subgroup (up to a topological isomorphism) of  $\text{Afis}(Q)$  for some compatible metric  $d$ . Indeed, parting from any compatible metric  $\sigma$  of  $Q$ , define  $d_\sigma(x, y) = \sup_{g \in G} \sigma(gx, gy)$ . Then  $d_\sigma$  is a compatible  $G$ -invariant metric for  $Q$ . Next, the map  $G \rightarrow \text{Iso}(Q, d_\sigma)$  which sends an element  $g \in G$  to the isomorphism  $\tilde{g} \in \text{Iso}(Q, d_\sigma)$  defined by  $\tilde{g}(x) = gx$ ,  $x \in Q$ , is a topological monomorphism of topological groups. Therefore, if in addition,  $G$  acts affinely on  $Q$ , then it is topologically isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Afis}(Q, d_\sigma)$ .

It is worth mentioning that  $\mathcal{H}(Q)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert space  $\ell_2$  (it was established in Toruńczyk [21] that  $\mathcal{H}(Q)$  is an  $\ell_2$ -manifold while the contractibility of  $\mathcal{H}(Q)$  was proved earlier in Renz [18]). However, there are no results concerning topological structures of the subgroups of  $\mathcal{H}(Q)$  above mentioned. More specifically, we ask the following.

**Question 5.1.** *Describe topological structures of the topological groups  $\text{Aff}(Q)$ ,  $\text{Iso}(Q, \rho)$  and  $\text{Iso}(H, d)$ , where  $\rho$  is the standart metric on the Hilbert cube  $Q$  and  $d$  is the  $\ell_2$ -metric on the Hilbert brick  $H$ . In particular, are these groups absolute neighborhood retracts?*

We end the paper with the following question.

**Question 5.2.** *Is Theorem 4.1 still true for Keller compacta with empty radial interior and infinite  $G$ ?*

## REFERENCES

1. S. A. Antonyan, *Retracts in categories of  $G$ -spaces*, Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Armyanskoi SSR. Matematika, Vol. 15, No. 5, (1980), 365-378; English transl. in: Soviet Jour. Contemp. Math. Anal. 15 (1980), No. 5, 30-43.
2. S. Antonian, *Equivariant embeddings into  $G$ -AR's*, Glasnik Matematički, Vol. 22 (42) (1987), 503-533.
3. S. A. Antonyan, *Retraction properties of an orbit space*, Matem. Sbornik 137 (1988) 300-318; English transl. in: Math. USSR Sbornik 65 (1990) 305-321.
4. S. A. Antonyan, *The topology of the Banach-Mazur compactum*, Fund. Math. **166**, no. **3** (2000), 209-232.
5. S. A. Antonyan, *West's problem on equivariant hyperspaces and Banach-Mazur compacta*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355, No. 8 (2003) 3379-3404.
6. S. A. Antonyan, *Orbit spaces of hyperspaces*, RIMS Kōkyūroku 1492, General and Geometric Topology and Geometric Group Theory, Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, May 2006, p. 120-121.
7. C. Bessaga and A. Pelczyński, *The estimated extension theorem, homogeneous collections and skeletons, and their applications to the topological classification of linear metric spaces and convex sets*, Fund. Math. 69 (1970) 153-190.
8. C. Bessaga and A. Pelczyński, *Selected Topics in Infinite-Dimensional Topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1975.
9. G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
10. T. A. Chapman, *Lectures on Hilbert Cube Manifolds*, C. B. M. S. Regional Conference Series in Math., 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975.
11. O. H. Keller, *Die Homöomorphie der kompakten konvexen Mengen in Hilbertschen Raum*, Math. Ann. 105 (1931) 748-758.
12. V. L. Klee, Jr., *Some topological properties of convex sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 78, No. 1 (1955) 30-45.
13. A.N. Kolmogorov and S.V. Fomin, *Introductory Real Analysis*, Dover Publ. Inc., New York, 1970.
14. J. van Mill, *Infinite-Dimensional Topology: Prerequisites and Introduction*, North-Holland Math. Library 43, Amsterdam, 1989.
15. S. B. Nadler, Jr., J. E. Quinn, and N.M. Stavrakas, *Hyperspaces of compact convex sets*, Pacific J. Math. 83 (1979) 441-462.
16. S. B. Nadler, Jr. and A. Illanes, *Hyperspaces: Fundamentals and Recent Advances*, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, 1999.
17. R. Palais, *The classification of  $G$ -spaces*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Vol 36, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1960.
18. P.L. Renz, *Contractibility of the homeomorphism group of some product spaces by Wong's method*, Math. Scand. 28 (1971) 182-188.
19. K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi, *The AR-property of the spaces of closed convex sets*, Colloquium Mathematicum, Vol. 106, No. 1 (2006) 15-24.
20. Y. M. Smirnov, *On equivariant embeddings of  $G$ -spaces*, Russian Math. Surveys, 31(5) (1976) 198-209.
21. H. Toruńczyk, *Homeomorphism groups of compact Hilbert cube manifolds which are manifolds*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 25, No. 4 (1977) 401-408.
22. H. Toruńczyk, *On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and characterization of  $Q$ -manifolds*, Fund. Math. 106 (1980) 31-40.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, 04510 MÉXICO DISTRITO FEDERAL, MÉXICO.

*E-mail address:* (S. Antonyan) antonyan@unam.mx

*E-mail address:* (N. Jonard-Pérez) nat@ciencias.unam.mx

*E-mail address:* (S. Juárez-Ordóñez) sjo@ciencias.unam.mx