

# AFFINE GROUP ACTING ON HYPERSPACES OF COMPACT CONVEX SUBSETS OF $\mathbb{R}^n$

SERGEY A. ANTONYAN AND NATALIA JONARD-PÉREZ

ABSTRACT. For every  $n \geq 2$ , let  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the hyperspace of all nonempty compact convex subsets of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the Hausdorff metric topology. Let  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the subset of  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of all compact convex bodies. In this paper we discover several fundamental properties of the natural action of the affine group  $\text{Aff}(n)$  on  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We prove that the space  $E(n)$  of all  $n$ -dimensional ellipsoids is an  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant retract of  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This is applied to show that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is homeomorphic to the product  $Q \times \mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$ , where  $Q$  stands for the Hilbert cube. Furthermore, we investigate the action of the orthogonal group  $O(n)$  on  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In particular, we show that if  $K \subset O(n)$  is a closed subgroup that acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , then the orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube with a removed point, while  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is a contractible  $Q$ -manifold homeomorphic to the product  $(E(n)/K) \times Q$ . The orbit space  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Banach-Mazur compactum  $\text{BM}(n)$ , while  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to the open cone over  $\text{BM}(n)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the hyperspace of all nonempty compact subsets of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , equipped with the Hausdorff metric:

$$d_H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A), \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B) \right\},$$

where  $d$  is the standard Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

By  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we shall denote the subspace of  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of all compact convex bodies of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.,

$$cb(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \text{Int } A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $cc(\mathbb{R}^1)$  is homeomorphic to the closed semi-plane  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \leq y\}$ , while  $cb(\mathbb{R}^1)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In [21] it was proved that for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is homeomorphic to the punctured Hilbert cube, i.e., Hilbert cube with a removed point. Furthermore, a simple combination of [6, Corollary 8] and [7, Theorem 1.4] yields that the hyperspace

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57N20, 57S10, 46B99; Secondary 55P91, 54B20, 54C55.

*Key words and phrases*. Convex set, hyperspace, affine group, proper action, slice, orbit space, Banach-Mazur compacta,  $Q$ -manifold.

The authors were supported by CONACYT grants 165195 and 207212, respectively.

$\mathcal{B}(n)$ , consisting of all centrally symmetric (about the origin) convex bodies  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^p \times Q$ , where  $Q$  denotes the Hilbert cube and  $p = n(n+1)/2$ . However, the topological structure of  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  remained open.

In this paper we study the topological structure of the hyperspace  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Namely, we will show that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is homeomorphic to the product  $Q \times \mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$ . Our argument is based on some fundamental properties of the natural action of the affine group  $\text{Aff}(n)$  on  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . On this way we prove that  $\text{Aff}(n)$  acts properly on  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (Theorem 3.3). Using a well-known result in affine convex geometry about the minimal-volume ellipsoid, we construct a convenient global  $O(n)$ -slice  $L(n)$  for  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Namely, as it was proved by F. John [17], for each  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there exists a unique minimal-volume ellipsoid  $l(A)$  that contains  $A$  (see also [15]). It turns out that the map  $l : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$  is an  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant retraction onto the subset  $E(n)$  of  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of all  $n$ -dimensional ellipsoids (see Theorem 3.6). Then the convenient global  $O(n)$ -slice of  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is just the inverse image  $L(n) = l^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^n)$  of the  $n$ -dimensional closed Euclidean unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$ . In other words,  $L(n)$  is the subspace of  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of all convex bodies  $A$  for which  $\mathbb{B}^n$  is the minimal-volume ellipsoid. This fact yields that the two orbit spaces  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$  and  $L(n)/O(n)$  are homeomorphic (Corollary 3.7(2)). Taking into account the compactness of  $L(n)$  (see Proposition 3.4(d)) we rediscover Macbeath's result [20] from early fifties to the effect that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$  is compact (Corollary 3.7(1)).

We show in Corollary 3.9 that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is homeomorphic (even  $O(n)$ -equivariantly) to the product  $L(n) \times E(n)$ . Further, in Section 5 we prove that  $L(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube (Corollary 5.9), while  $E(n)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$  (see Corollary 3.10). Thus, we get that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is homeomorphic to the product  $Q \times \mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$  (Corollary 3.11), one of the main results of the paper.

In Corollary 3.8 we prove that the orbit space  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Banach-Mazur compactum  $\text{BM}(n)$ . Recall that  $\text{BM}(n)$  is the set of isometry classes of  $n$ -dimensional Banach spaces topologized by the following metric best known in Functional Analysis as the Banach-Mazur distance:

$$d(E, F) = \ln \inf \{ \|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\| \mid T : E \rightarrow F \text{ is a linear isomorphism} \}.$$

These spaces were introduced in 1932 by S. Banach [11] and they continue to be of interest. The original geometric representation of  $\text{BM}(n)$  is based on the one-to-one correspondence between norms and odd symmetric

convex bodies (see [30, p. 644] and [19, p. 1191]). A. Pelczyński's question of whether the Banach-Mazur compacta  $\text{BM}(n)$  are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube (see [30, Problem 899]) was answered negatively for  $n = 2$  by the first author [6]; the case  $n \geq 3$  still remains open. The reader can find other results concerning the Banach-Mazur compacta and related spaces in [7].

In Section 4 we study the hyperspace  $M(n)$  of all compact convex subsets of the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n$  which intersect the boundary sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . It is established in Corollary 4.13 that for every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the  $K$ -orbit space  $M(n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. In particular,  $M(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. On the other hand,  $M_0(n)/K$  is a Hilbert cube manifold for each closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , where  $M_0(n) = M(n) \setminus \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ . In Theorem 4.16 it is established that the orbit space  $M(n)/O(n)$  is just homeomorphic to the Banach-Mazur compactum  $\text{BM}(n)$ . The main technique we develop in this section is further applied to Section 5 as well. Here we establish analogous properties of the global  $O(n)$ -slice  $L(n)$  of the proper  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -space  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see Proposition 5.8, Corollary 5.9 and Theorem 5.11).

In Sections 6 and 7 we investigate some orbit spaces of  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We prove in Theorem 7.1 that if  $K$  is a closed subgroup of  $O(n)$  which acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , then the orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the punctured Hilbert cube. The orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to the open cone over the Banach-Mazur compactum  $\text{BM}(n)$  (Theorem 7.2). Respectively, the orbit space  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is a contractible  $Q$ -manifold homeomorphic to the product  $(E(n)/K) \times Q$  (see Theorem 6.1), while topological structure of the orbit space  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$  mainly remains unknown.

The paper consists of the following 7 sections:

- §1. Introduction.
- §2. Preliminaries.
- §3. Affine group acting properly on  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- §4. The hyperspace  $M(n)$ .
- §5. Some properties of  $L(n)$ .
- §6. Orbit spaces of  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- §7. Orbit spaces of  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We refer the reader to the monographs [12] and [22] for basic notions of the theory of  $G$ -spaces. However we will recall here some special definitions and results which will be used throughout the paper.

All topological spaces and topological groups are assumed to be Tychonoff.

If  $G$  is a topological group and  $X$  is a  $G$ -space, for any  $x \in X$  we denote by  $G_x$  the stabilizer of  $x$ , i.e.,  $G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$ . For a subset  $S \subset X$  and a subgroup  $H \subset G$ ,  $H(S)$  denotes the  $H$ -saturation of  $S$ , i.e.,  $H(S) = \{hs \mid h \in H, s \in S\}$ . If  $H(S) = S$  then we say that  $S$  is an  $H$ -invariant set. In particular,  $G(x)$  denotes the  $G$ -orbit of  $x$ , i.e.,  $G(x) = \{gx \in X \mid g \in G\}$ . The orbit space is denoted by  $X/G$ .

For each subgroup  $H \subset G$ , the  $H$ -fixed point set  $X^H$  is the set  $\{x \in X \mid H \subset G_x\}$ . Clearly,  $X^H$  is a closed subset of  $X$ .

The family of all subgroups of  $G$  that are conjugate to  $H$  is denoted by  $[H]$ , i.e.,  $[H] = \{gHg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$ . We will call  $[H]$  a  $G$ -orbit type (or simply an orbit type). For two orbit types  $[H_1]$  and  $[H_2]$ , one says that  $[H_1] \preceq [H_2]$  iff  $H_1 \subset gH_2g^{-1}$  for some  $g \in G$ . The relation  $\preceq$  is a partial ordering on the set of all orbit types. Since  $G_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1}$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ , we have  $[G_x] = \{G_{gx} \mid g \in G\}$ .

A continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between two  $G$ -spaces is called *equivariant* or a  $G$ -map if  $f(gx) = g(fx)$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ . If the action of  $G$  on  $Y$  is trivial and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an equivariant map, then we will say that  $f$  is an *invariant* map.

For any subgroup  $H \subset G$ , we will denote by  $G/H$  the  $G$ -space of cosets  $\{gH \mid g \in G\}$  equipped with the action induced by left translations.

A  $G$ -space  $X$  is called *proper* (in the sense of Palais [23]) if it has an open cover consisting of, so called, *small* sets. A set  $S \subset X$  is called small if any point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood  $V$  such that the set  $\langle S, V \rangle = \{g \in G \mid gS \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ , called the transporter from  $S$  to  $V$ , has compact closure in  $G$ .

Each orbit in a proper  $G$ -space is closed, and each stabilizer is compact ([23, Proposition 1.1.4]). If  $G$  is a locally compact group and  $Y$  is a proper  $G$ -space, then for every point  $y \in Y$  the orbit  $G(y)$  is  $G$ -homeomorphic to  $G/G_y$  [23, Proposition 1.1.5].

For a given topological group  $G$ , a metrizable  $G$ -space  $Y$  is called a  *$G$ -equivariant absolute neighborhood retract* (denoted by  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}$ ) if for any metrizable  $G$ -space  $M$  containing  $Y$  as an invariant closed subset, there

exist an invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $Y$  in  $M$  and a  $G$ -retraction  $r : U \rightarrow Y$ . If we can always take  $U = M$ , then we say  $Y$  is a  $G$ -equivariant absolute retract (denoted by  $Y \in G\text{-AR}$ ).

Let us recall the well known definition of a slice [23, p. 305]:

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space and  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $G$ . An  $H$ -invariant subset  $S \subset X$  is called an  $H$ -slice in  $X$ , if  $G(S)$  is open in  $X$  and there exists a  $G$ -equivariant map  $f : G(S) \rightarrow G/H$  such that  $S=f^{-1}(eH)$ . The saturation  $G(S)$  is called a *tubular set*. If  $G(S) = X$ , then we say that  $S$  is a *global  $H$ -slice* of  $X$ .

In case of a compact group  $G$  one has the following intrinsic characterization of  $H$ -slices. A subset  $S \subset X$  of a  $G$ -space  $X$  is an  $H$ -slice if and only if it satisfies the following four conditions: (1)  $S$  is  $H$ -invariant, (2)  $G(S)$  is open in  $X$ , (3)  $S$  is closed in  $G(S)$ , (4) if  $g \in G \setminus H$  then  $gS \cap S = \emptyset$  (see [12, Ch. II, §4 and §5]).

The following is one of the fundamental results in the theory of topological transformation groups (see, e.g., [12, Ch. II, §4 and §5]):

**Theorem 2.2** (Slice Theorem). Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group,  $X$  a Tychonoff  $G$ -space and  $x \in X$  any point. Then:

- (1) There exists a  $G_x$ -slice  $S \subset X$  such that  $x \in S$ .
- (2)  $[G_y] \preceq [G_x]$  for each point  $y \in G(S)$ .

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and  $X$  a  $G$ -space. By a  $G$ -normal cover of  $X$ , we mean a family

$$\mathcal{U} = \{gS_\mu \mid g \in G, \mu \in M\}$$

where each  $S_\mu$  is an  $H_\mu$ -slice for some closed subgroup  $H_\mu$  of  $G$ , the family of saturations  $\{G(S_\mu)\}_{\mu \in M}$  is an open cover for  $X$  and there exists a locally finite invariant partition of unity  $\{p_\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \mu \in M\}$  subordinated to  $\{G(S_\mu)\}_{\mu \in M}$ . That is to say, each  $p_\mu$  is an invariant function with  $\overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])} \subset G(S_\mu)$  and the supports  $\{\overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])} \mid \mu \in M\}$  constitute a locally finite family. We refer to [7] for further information concerning  $G$ -normal covers.

Yet another result which plays an important role in the paper is the following one:

**Theorem 2.3** (Orbit Space Theorem [4]). Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and  $X$  a  $G$ -ANR (resp., a  $G$ -AR). Then the orbit space  $X/G$  is an ANR (resp., an AR).

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric  $G$ -space. If  $d(gx, gy) = d(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $g \in G$ , then we will say that  $d$  is a  $G$ -invariant (or simply invariant) metric.

Suppose that  $G$  is a compact group acting on a metric space  $(X, d)$ . If  $d$  is  $G$ -invariant, it is well-known [22, Proposition 1.1.12] that the quotient topology of  $X/G$  is generated by the metric

$$(2.1) \quad d^*(G(x), G(y)) = \inf_{g \in G} d(x, gy), \quad G(x), G(y) \in X/G.$$

It is evident that

$$(2.2) \quad d^*(G(x), G(y)) \leq d(x, y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

In the sequel we will denote by  $d$  the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we denote  $N(A, \varepsilon) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$ . In particular, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $N(x, \varepsilon)$  denotes the open  $\varepsilon$ -ball around  $x$ . On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{C} \subset cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  we shall use  $O(A, \varepsilon)$  for the  $\varepsilon$ -open ball centered at the point  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e.,

$$O(A, \varepsilon) = \{B \in \mathcal{C} \mid d_H(A, B) < \varepsilon\},$$

where  $d_H$  stands for the Hausdorff metric induced by  $d$ .

For every subset  $A \subset X$  of a topological space  $X$ , we will use the symbols  $\partial A$  and  $\bar{A}$  to denote, respectively, the boundary and the closure of  $A$  in  $X$ .

We will denote by  $\mathbb{B}^n$  the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean closed unit ball and by  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  the corresponding unit sphere, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{B}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i_1}^n x_i^2 \leq 1\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i_1}^n x_i^2 = 1\}.$$

The Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^\infty$  will be denoted by  $Q$ . By  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$  we denote the subspace of  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of all  $A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $A \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ . It is well known that  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube (see [21, Theorem 2.2]).

A Hilbert cube manifold or a  $Q$ -manifold is a separable, metrizable space that admits an open cover each member of which is homeomorphic to an open subset of the Hilbert cube  $Q$ . We refer to [14] and [28] for the theory of  $Q$ -manifolds.

A closed subset  $A$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is called a  $Z$ -set if the set  $\{f \in C(Q, X) \mid f(Q) \cap A = \emptyset\}$  is dense in  $C(Q, X)$ , being  $C(Q, X)$  the space of all continuous maps from  $Q$  to  $X$  endowed with the compact-open

topology. In particular, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a map  $f : X \rightarrow X \setminus A$  such that  $d(x, f(x)) < \varepsilon$ , then  $A$  is a  $Z$ -set.

A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between topological spaces is called proper provided that  $f^{-1}(C)$  is compact for each compact set  $C \subset Y$ . A proper map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between ANR's is called cell-like (abbreviated CE) if it is onto and each point inverse  $f^{-1}(y)$  has the property  $UV^\infty$ . That is to say, for each neighborhood  $U$  of  $f^{-1}(y)$  there exists a neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $f^{-1}(y)$  such that the inclusion  $V \hookrightarrow U$  is homotopic to a constant map of  $V$  into  $U$ . In particular, if  $f^{-1}(y)$  is contractible, then it has the property  $UV^\infty$  (see [14, Ch. XIII]).

### 3. AFFINE GROUP ACTING PROPERLY ON $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $G$  a topological group acting continuously on  $X$ . Consider the hyperspace  $2^X$  consisting of all nonempty compact subsets of  $X$  equipped with the Hausdorff metric topology. Define an action of  $G$  on  $2^X$  by the rule:

$$(3.1) \quad (g, A) \longmapsto gA, \quad gA = \{ga \mid a \in A\}.$$

The reader can easily verify the continuity of this action.

**3.1. Properness of the  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -action on  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .** Throughout the paper,  $n$  will always denote a natural number greater than or equal to 2.

We will denote by  $\text{Aff}(n)$  the group of all affine transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let us recall the definition of  $\text{Aff}(n)$ . For every  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let  $T_v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the translation by  $v$ , i.e.,  $T_v(x) = v + x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The set of all such translations is a group isomorphic to the additive group of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For every  $\sigma \in GL(n)$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  it is easy to see that  $\sigma T_v \sigma^{-1} = T_{\sigma(v)}$ . This yields a homomorphism from  $GL(n)$  to the group of all linear automorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and hence, we have an (internal) semidirect product:

$$\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes GL(n)$$

called the *Affine Group* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see e.g. [2, p. 102]). Each element  $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$  is usually represented by  $g = T_v + \sigma$ , where  $\sigma \in GL(n)$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.,

$$g(x) = v + \sigma(x), \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

As a semidirect product,  $\text{Aff}(n)$  is topologized by the product topology of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times GL(n)$  thus becoming a Lie group with two connected components. Since the topology of  $GL(n)$  is the one inherited from  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ , we can also give a natural topological embedding of  $\text{Aff}(n)$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} = \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)}$  which will be helpful in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Clearly, the natural action of  $\text{Aff}(n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous. This action induces a continuous action on  $2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ . Observe that for every  $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$  and  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the set  $gA = \{ga \mid a \in A\}$  belongs to  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e.,  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an invariant subset of  $2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$  and thus the restriction of the  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -action on  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous. We will prove in Theorem 3.3 that this action is proper. First we prove the following two technical lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and let  $x_0 \in A$  be such that  $\overline{N(x_0, 2\varepsilon)} \subset A$  for certain  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $C \in O(A, \varepsilon)$  then  $N(x_0, \varepsilon) \subset C$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary is true, i.e., that there exists  $C \in O(A, \varepsilon)$  such that  $N(x_0, \varepsilon) \not\subset C$ . Choose  $x \in N(x_0, \varepsilon) \setminus C$ . Since  $C$  is compact, there exists  $z \in C$  with  $d(x, z) = d(x, C)$ . Let  $H$  be the hyperplane through  $z$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  orthogonal to the ray  $\vec{xz}$ . Since  $C$  is convex, it lies in the halfspace determined by  $H$  which does not contain the point  $x$ . Let  $a$  be the intersection point of the ray  $\vec{zx}$  with the boundary  $\overline{\partial N(x_0, 2\varepsilon)} \subset A$ . Evidently,  $d(a, x_0) = 2\varepsilon$  and

$$d(a, z) = d(a, H) \leq d(a, C) \leq d_H(A, C) < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $d(x_0, x) < \varepsilon$  the triangle inequality implies that

$$\varepsilon > d(a, z) > d(a, x) \geq d(a, x_0) - d(x_0, x) > 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

This contradiction proves the lemma.  $\square$

Observe that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is not closed in  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . However, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x_0 \in A$  be such that  $\overline{N(x_0, 2\varepsilon)} \subset A$  for certain  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $\overline{O(A, \varepsilon)}$ , the closure of  $O(A, \varepsilon)$  in  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , is compact.

*Proof.* First we observe that  $O(A, \varepsilon)$  is contained in  $cc(K)$  for some compact convex subset  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $cc(K)$  stands for the hyperspace of all compact convex subsets of  $K$ . By [21],  $cc(K)$  is compact, and hence, the closure of  $O(A, \varepsilon)$  in  $cc(K)$ , denoted by  $[O(A, \varepsilon)]$ , is also compact. So, it is enough to prove that  $[O(A, \varepsilon)]$  is contained in  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Let  $(D_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset O(A, \varepsilon)$  be a sequence of compact convex bodies converging to some  $D \in cc(K)$ . According to Lemma 3.1,  $N(x_0, \varepsilon) \subset D_m$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $N(x_0, \varepsilon) \not\subset D$ . Pick an arbitrary point  $x \in N(x_0, \varepsilon) \setminus D$  and let  $\eta = d(x, D) > 0$ . Since  $x \in D_m$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is clear that  $d_H(D_m, D) \geq \eta$ . This means that the sequence  $(D_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  cannot converge to  $D$ , a contradiction. This contradiction proves that  $N(x_0, \varepsilon)$  is contained in  $D$ , and therefore,  $D$  has a nonempty interior and then  $D \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Thus,  $[O(A, \varepsilon)]$  is a compact set contained in  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which yields that  $\overline{O(A, \varepsilon)} = [O(A, \varepsilon)]$ , and hence,  $\overline{O(A, \varepsilon)}$  is compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.**  $\text{Aff}(n)$  acts properly on  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and assume that  $x_0 \in A$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  are such that  $\overline{N(x_0, 2\varepsilon)} \subset A$ . We claim that  $O(A, \varepsilon)$  is a small neighborhood of  $A$ .

Indeed, let  $B \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $B$  has a nonempty interior, there is a point  $z_0 \in B$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\overline{N(z_0, 2\delta)} \subset B$ . We will prove that the transporter

$$\Gamma = \{g \in \text{Aff}(n) \mid gO(A, \varepsilon) \cap O(B, \delta) \neq \emptyset\}$$

has compact closure in  $\text{Aff}(n)$ .

It is sufficient to prove that  $\Gamma$ , viewed as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ , is bounded and its closure in  $\text{Aff}(n)$  coincides with the one in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ .

For every  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ . There exists  $M > 0$  such that, if  $C \in O(A, \varepsilon) \cup O(B, \delta)$ , then

$$(3.2) \quad \|c\|_\infty \leq M \quad \text{for all } c \in C.$$

In particular,

$$\text{diam } C = \sup_{c, c' \in C} \|c - c'\|_\infty \leq 2M.$$

Take an arbitrary element  $\mu \in \Gamma$ . There exist  $A' \in O(A, \varepsilon)$  and  $B' \in O(B, \delta)$  with  $\mu A' = B'$ . Since  $\mu$  is an affine transformation, there are  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\sigma \in GL(n)$  such that  $\mu(x) = u + \sigma(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $(\sigma_{ij})$  be the matrix representing  $\sigma$  with respect to the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and consider  $(\sigma_{ij})$  as a point in  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ .

Since  $\mu A' = B' \in O(B, \delta)$ , according to inequality (3.2),  $\text{diam } \mu A' \leq 2M$ . Observe that  $\mu A' = \sigma A' + u$ , and hence,  $\text{diam } \sigma A' = \text{diam } \mu A' \leq 2M$ . Let

$$\xi_i = (0, \dots, 0, \varepsilon/2, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $\varepsilon/2$  is the  $i$ -th coordinate. Then, by Lemma 3.1,  $\xi_i + x_0 \in N(x_0, \varepsilon) \subset A'$  and  $-\xi_i + x_0 \in N(x_0, \varepsilon) \subset A'$ . Since  $\text{diam } \sigma A' \leq 2M$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|2\sigma(\xi_i)\|_\infty &= \|\sigma(2\xi_i)\|_\infty = \|\sigma((\xi_i + x_0) - (-\xi_i + x_0))\|_\infty \\ &= \|\sigma(\xi_i + x_0) - \sigma(-\xi_i + x_0)\|_\infty \leq 2M, \end{aligned}$$

and thus,  $\|\sigma(\xi_i)\|_\infty \leq M$ .

However,  $\sigma(\xi_i) = (\sigma_{1i}\varepsilon/2, \dots, \sigma_{ni}\varepsilon/2)$ , and therefore,  $|\sigma_{ji}\varepsilon/2| \leq M$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus,  $|\sigma_{ji}| < 2M/\varepsilon$ .

Next, according to (3.2), for every  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A'$  one has  $\|a\|_\infty \leq M$ . Then we get:

$$\|\sigma(a)\|_\infty = \max_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} a_j \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2M}{\varepsilon} \|a\|_\infty \leq \frac{2nM^2}{\varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand,  $\mu(a) \in B'$ , which yields that

$$M \geq \|\mu(a)\|_\infty = \|u + \sigma(a)\|_\infty \geq \|u\|_\infty - \|\sigma(a)\|_\infty \geq \|u\|_\infty - \frac{2nM^2}{\varepsilon}.$$

This implies that  $\|(u)\|_\infty \leq M + \frac{2nM^2}{\varepsilon}$ , and therefore,  $\Gamma$ , viewed as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ , is bounded.

In order to complete the proof, it remains only to show that the closure of  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{Aff}(n)$  coincides with its closure in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ . Observe that here  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$  represents the space of all real  $n \times n$ -matrices, i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$  represents the space of all linear transformations from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into itself. Therefore, an element  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$  represents a map which is the composition of a linear transformation followed by a translation. In this case,  $\lambda$  is an affine transformation iff it is surjective.

Let  $(\lambda_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$  be a sequence of affine transformations converging to some element  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ . We need to prove that  $\lambda \in \text{Aff}(n)$ . Since  $\lambda_m \in \Gamma$ , there exist  $A_m \in O(A, \varepsilon)$  and  $B_m \in O(B, \delta)$  such that  $\lambda_m A_m = B_m$ . According to Lemma 3.2, the closures  $\overline{O(A, \varepsilon)}$  and  $\overline{O(B, \delta)}$  are compact. Hence, we can assume that  $A_m$  converges to some  $A_0 \in \overline{O(A, \varepsilon)}$  and  $B_m$  converges to some  $B_0 \in \overline{O(B, \delta)}$ . Then the equality  $\lambda_m A_m = B_m$  yields that  $\lambda A_0 = B_0$ . Since  $B_0$  has a nonempty interior, we infer that  $\dim B_0 = n$ , and hence, the dimension of the image  $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)$  also equals  $n$ . Thus,  $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is possible only if  $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus,  $\lambda$  is surjective, as required. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**3.2. A convenient global slice for  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .** A well-known result of F. John [17] (see also [15]) in affine convex geometry states that for each  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there is a unique minimal-volume ellipsoid  $l(A)$  containing  $A$  (respectively, a maximal-volume ellipsoid  $j(A)$  contained in  $A$ ). Nowadays  $j(A)$  is called the *John ellipsoid* of  $A$  while  $l(A)$  is called its *Löwner ellipsoid*. We will denote by  $L(n)$  (resp., by  $J(n)$ ) the subspace of  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of all convex bodies  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which the Euclidean unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n$  is the Löwner ellipsoid (resp., the John ellipsoid). By  $E(n)$  we will denote the subset of  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of all ellipsoids. Below we shall consider the map  $l : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$  that sends a convex body  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to its minimal-volume ellipsoid  $l(A)$ . We will call  $l$  the Löwner map.

**Proposition 3.4.**  $L(n)$  satisfies the following four properties:

- (a)  $L(n)$  is  $O(n)$ -invariant.
- (b) The saturation  $\text{Aff}(n)(L(n))$  coincides with  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- (c) If  $gL(n) \cap L(n) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$ , then  $g \in O(n)$ .
- (d)  $L(n)$  is compact.

*Proof.* First we prove the following

*Claim.* The Löwner map  $l : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$  is  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant, i.e.,  $l(gA) = gl(A)$  for every  $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$  and  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Assume the contrary is true, i.e., that there exist  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$  such that  $l(gA) \neq gl(A)$ . Clearly,  $gl(A)$  is an ellipsoid containing  $gA$ . Since the minimal volume ellipsoid of  $g(A)$  is unique, we infer that  $\text{vol}(gl(A)) > \text{vol}(l(gA))$ . By the same argument,  $\text{vol}(g^{-1}l(gA)) > \text{vol}(l(A))$ . Now we apply a well-known fact that each affine transformation preserves the ratio of volumes of any pair of compact convex bodies. Thus we obtain:

$$\frac{\text{vol}(l(A))}{\text{vol}(A)} = \frac{\text{vol}(gl(A))}{\text{vol}(gA)} > \frac{\text{vol}(l(gA))}{\text{vol}(gA)} = \frac{\text{vol}(g^{-1}l(gA))}{\text{vol}(A)} > \frac{\text{vol}(l(A))}{\text{vol}(A)}.$$

This contradiction proves the claim.

- (a) Let  $g \in O(n)$  and  $A \in L(n)$ . The above claim implies that  $l(gA) = gl(A) = g\mathbb{B}^n = \mathbb{B}^n$ , i.e.,  $gA \in L(n)$ , which means that  $L(n)$  is  $O(n)$ -invariant.
- (b) Let  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . There exists  $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$  such that  $l(A) = g\mathbb{B}^n$ . According to the above claim we have:

$$\mathbb{B}^n = g^{-1}l(A) = l(g^{-1}A).$$

Then,  $g^{-1}A \in L(n)$  and  $A = g(g^{-1}A)$ . This proves that  $\text{Aff}(n)(L(n)) = cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

- (c) If there exist  $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$  and  $A \in L(n)$  such that  $gA \in L(n)$ , then

$$\mathbb{B}^n = l(gA) = gl(A) = g\mathbb{B}^n.$$

This yields that  $g \in O(n)$ .

- (d) Clearly,  $L(n) \subset cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . Since  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is compact (in fact, it is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube [21, Theorem 2.2]), it suffices to show that  $L(n)$  is closed in  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$ .

Let  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L(n)$  be a sequence converging to  $A \in cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . We will prove that  $A \in L(n)$ . To this end, we shall prove first that  $A$  has nonempty interior. If not, there exist an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $A \subset \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $E' \subset \mathcal{H}$  be an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional ellipsoid containing  $A$  in its interior (with respect to  $\mathcal{H}$ ). For any  $r > 0$ , consider the line segment

$T_r$  of length  $r$  which is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{H}$  and passes through the center of  $E'$ . Let  $r > 0$  be small enough that the  $n$ -dimensional ellipsoid  $E$  generated by  $E'$  and  $T_r$  has the volume less than  $\text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . Since  $A$  lies in the interior of  $E$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that  $N(A, \delta) \subset E$ . Now, we use the fact that  $(A_k)$  converges to  $A$  to find  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_{m_0} \subset N(A, \delta) \subset E$ . Thus,  $E$  is an ellipsoid containing  $A_{m_0}$  and then

$$\text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n) = \text{vol}(l(A_{m_0})) < \text{vol}(E) < \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n).$$

This contradiction proves that  $A$  has nonempty interior.

Consequently,  $l(A)$  is defined and we have to show that  $l(A) = \mathbb{B}^n$ . Suppose that  $l(A) \neq \mathbb{B}^n$ . Since  $A_k \subset \mathbb{B}^n$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that  $A \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ . Hence, by uniqueness of the minimal volume ellipsoid,  $\text{vol}(l(A)) < \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . Let  $L$  be an ellipsoid concentric and homothetic with  $l(A)$  with ratio  $> 1$  and  $\text{vol}(L) < \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . As  $l(A)$  is contained in the interior of  $L$ , the distance  $d_H(\partial L, \partial l(A)) = \varepsilon$  is positive. Consider  $U = N(\partial l(A), \varepsilon)$ , the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the boundary  $\partial l(A)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $A$  and all the sets  $A_k$  are convex, the sequence  $(\partial A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\partial A$ . Therefore, there exists  $k_0 \geq 1$  such that  $\partial A_{k_0} \subset U$ . The convexity of  $A_{k_0}$  implies that  $A_{k_0} \subset L$ , and hence,

$$\text{vol}(l(A_{k_0})) \leq \text{vol}(L) < \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n) = \text{vol}(l(A_{k_0})).$$

This contradiction proves that  $A \in L(n)$ , and hence,  $L(n)$  is closed in  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** The first three assertions of Proposition 3.4 are easy modifications of those in [6, Proof of Theorem 4], while the fourth one provides a new way of proving Macbeath's result on compactness of the orbit space  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$  (see Corollary 3.7(1)).

**Theorem 3.6.** (1) The L\"owner map  $l : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$  is an  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant retraction with  $L(n) = l^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ .

(2)  $L(n)$  is a compact global  $O(n)$ -slice for the proper  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -space  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* (1) In the proof of Proposition 3.4 we showed that  $l : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$  is  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant. Clearly, it is a retraction. As to the continuity of  $l$ , it is a standard consequence of the above four properties in Proposition 3.4, well known in transformation groups (see [12, Ch. II, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.4] for compact group actions and [23] for locally compact proper group actions). However, using the compactness of  $L(n)$  we shall give here a direct proof of this fact.

For, let  $(X_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  that converges to a point  $X \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e.,  $X_m \rightsquigarrow X$ . We must show that  $l(X_m) \rightsquigarrow l(X)$ . Assume the contrary is true. Then there exist a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $(A_k)$  of the sequence  $(X_m)$  such that  $d_H(l(A_k), l(A)) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $d_H$  denotes the Hausdorff metric.

By property (b) of Proposition 3.4, there are  $g, g_k \in \text{Aff}(n)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $A_k = g_k S_k$  and  $A = gP$  for some  $P, S_k \in L(n)$ . Due to compactness of  $L(n)$ , without loss of generality, one can assume that  $S_k \rightsquigarrow S$  for some  $S \in L(n)$ . Since  $\text{Aff}(n)$  acts properly on  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see Theorem 3.3), the points  $S$  and  $P$  have neighborhoods  $U_S$  and  $U_P$ , respectively, such that the transporter  $\langle U_S, U_P \rangle$  has compact closure. Since  $S_k \rightsquigarrow S$  and  $g^{-1}g_k S_k \rightsquigarrow P$ , it then follows that there is a natural number  $k_0$  such that  $g^{-1}g_k \in \langle U_S, U_P \rangle$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ . Consequently, the sequence  $(g^{-1}g_k)$  has a convergent subsequence. Again, it is no loss of generality to assume that  $g^{-1}g_k \rightsquigarrow h$  for some  $h \in \text{Aff}(n)$ . This implies that  $g^{-1}g_k S_k \rightsquigarrow hS$ , which together with  $g^{-1}g_k S_k \rightsquigarrow P$  yields that  $hS = P$ . But  $S$  and  $P$  belong to  $L(n)$ , and hence, property (c) of Proposition 3.4 yields that  $h \in O(n)$ . Since  $g_k \rightsquigarrow gh$ , then we get that

$$l(A_k) = l(g_k S_k) = g_k l(S_k) = g_k \mathbb{B}^n \rightsquigarrow gh \mathbb{B}^n = g \mathbb{B}^n = gl(S) = l(gS) = l(A),$$

which contradicts to the inequality  $d_H(l(A_k), l(A)) \geq \varepsilon$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Hence,  $l(X_m) \rightsquigarrow l(X)$ , as required.

(2) Compactness of  $L(n)$  was proved in Proposition 3.4(d). Since  $E(n)$  is the  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit of the point  $\mathbb{B}^n \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $O(n)$  is the stabilizer of  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , one has the  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -homeomorphism  $E(n) \cong \text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$  (see [23, Proposition 1.1.5]). This, together with the statement (1), yields an  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant map  $f: cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$  such that  $L(n) = f^{-1}(O(n))$ . Thus,  $L(n)$  is a global  $O(n)$ -slice for  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , as required.

□

**Corollary 3.7.** (1) (Macbeath [20]) The  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit space  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$  is compact.

(2) The two orbit spaces  $L(n)/O(n)$  and  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$  are homeomorphic.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: L(n) \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$  be the restriction of the orbit map  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ . Then  $\pi$  is continuous and it follows from Proposition 3.4(b) that  $\pi$  is onto. This already implies the first assertion if we remember that  $L(n)$  is compact (see Proposition 3.4(d)).

Further, for  $A, B \in L(n)$ , it follows from Proposition 3.4(c) that  $\pi(A) = \pi(B)$  iff  $A$  and  $B$  have the same  $O(n)$ -orbit. Hence,  $\pi$  induces a continuous bijective map  $p: L(n)/O(n) \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ . Since  $L(n)/O(n)$  is compact we then conclude that  $p$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

In Theorem 5.11 we will prove that the orbit space  $L(n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Banach-Mazur compactum  $\text{BM}(n)$ . This, in combination with Corollary 3.7 implies the following:

**Corollary 3.8.** The  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit space  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Banach-Mazur compactum  $\text{BM}(n)$ .

**Corollary 3.9.** (1) There exists an  $O(n)$ -equivariant retraction  $r: cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$  such that  $r(A)$  belongs to the  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit of  $A$ .

(2) The diagonal product of the two retractions  $r: cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$  and  $l: cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong_{O(n)} L(n) \times E(n)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Recall that  $O(n)$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\text{Aff}(n)$ . According to the structure theorem (see [16, Ch. XV, Theorem 3.1]), there exists a closed subset  $T \subset \text{Aff}(n)$  such that  $gTg^{-1} = T$  for every  $g \in O(n)$ , and the multiplication map

$$(3.3) \quad (t, g) \mapsto tg: T \times O(n) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(n)$$

is a homeomorphism. In our case it is easy to see that  $T$  can be taken as the set of all products  $AS$ , where  $A$  is a translation and  $S$  is a non-degenerate symmetric (or self-adjoint) positive operator. This follows easily from two standard facts in Linear Algebra: (1) each  $a \in \text{Aff}(n)$  is uniquely represented as the composition of a translation  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and an invertible operator  $g \in GL(n)$ , (2) due to the polar decomposition theorem, every invertible operator  $g \in GL(n)$  can uniquely be represented as the composition of a non-degenerate symmetric positive operator and an orthogonal operator (see, e.g., [18, sections 2.3 and 2.4]).

Now we define the required  $O(n)$ -equivariant retraction  $r: cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$ .

Let  $f: \text{Aff}(n) \rightarrow E(n)$  be defined by  $f(g) = g\mathbb{B}^n$ . Then  $f$  induces an  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $\tilde{f}: \text{Aff}(n)/O(n) \rightarrow E(n)$  [23, Proposition 1.1.5] and it is the composition of the following two maps:

$$\text{Aff}(n) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Aff}(n)/O(n) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} E(n)$$

where  $\pi$  is the natural quotient map. Due to compactness of  $O(n)$ ,  $\pi$  is closed, and hence,  $f$  being the composition of two closed maps is itself closed.

This yields that the restriction  $f|_T: T \rightarrow E(n)$  is a homeomorphism. Moreover, this homeomorphism is  $O(n)$ -equivariant if we let  $O(n)$  act on  $T$  by inner automorphisms and on  $E(n)$  by the action induced from  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Denote by  $\xi: E(n) \rightarrow T$  the inverse map  $f^{-1}$ . Then we have the following characteristic property of  $\xi$ :

$$(3.4) \quad [\xi(C)]^{-1}C = \mathbb{B}^n \quad \text{for all } C \in E(n).$$

Next, we define

$$r(A) = [\xi(l(A))]^{-1}A \quad \text{for every } A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Clearly,  $r$  depends continuously on  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Since  $l(r(A)) = l([\xi(l(A))]^{-1}A) = [\xi(l(A))]^{-1}l(A)$  and, since by (3.4),  $[\xi(l(A))]^{-1}l(A) = \mathbb{B}^n$ , we infer that  $r(A) \in L(n)$ . If  $A \in L(n)$ , then  $l(A) = \mathbb{B}^n$  and  $r(A) = [\xi(l(A))]^{-1}A = [\xi(\mathbb{B}^n)]^{-1}A = 1 \cdot A = A$ . Thus,  $r$  is a well-defined retraction on  $L(n)$ .

Let us check that it is  $O(n)$ -equivariant. For, let  $g \in O(n)$  and  $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $r(gA) = [\xi(l(gA))]^{-1}gA = [\xi(gl(A))]^{-1}gA$ . Due to equivariance of  $\xi$ , one has  $\xi(gl(A)) = g\xi(l(A))g^{-1}$ , and hence,  $[\xi(gl(A))]^{-1} = g[\xi(l(A))]^{-1}g^{-1}$ . Consequently,

$$r(gA) = \left(g[\xi(l(A))]^{-1}g^{-1}\right)gA = g\left([\xi(l(A))]^{-1}A\right) = gr(A),$$

as required. Thus,  $r: cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -retraction, and clearly,  $r(A)$  belongs to the  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit of  $A$ .

(2) Next we define

$$\varphi(A) = (r(A), l(A)) \quad \text{for every } A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then  $\varphi$  is the desired  $O(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n) \times E(n)$  with the inverse map given by  $\varphi^{-1}((C, E)) = \xi(E)C$  for every pair  $(C, E) \in L(n) \times E(n)$ .

□

**Corollary 3.10.** (1)  $E(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR.

(2)  $E(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Follows immediately from Theorem 3.6 and from the fact that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR [8, Corollary 4.8].

(2) As we observed above,  $E(n)$  is homeomorphic to the quotient space  $\text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$  (see [23, Proposition 1.1.5]). Consequently, one should prove that  $\text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$ .

Since  $\text{Aff}(n)$  is the semidirect product of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $GL(n)$ , as a topological space  $\text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \times GL(n)/O(n)$ . The  $RQ$ -decomposition theorem in Linear Algebra states that every invertible matrix can uniquely be represented as the product of an orthogonal matrix and an upper-triangular matrix with positive elements on the diagonal (see, e.g., [13, Fact 4.2.2 and Exercise 4.3.29]). This easily yields that  $GL(n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{(n+1)n/2}$ , and hence,  $\text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , where  $p = n + (n+1)n/2 = n(n+3)/2$ . □

In Section 5 we will prove that  $L(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube (see Corollary 5.9). This, in combination with Corollaries 3.9 and 3.10, yields the following result, which is one of the main results of the paper:

**Corollary 3.11.**  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is homeomorphic to  $Q \times \mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$ .

**Remark 3.12.** Using the maximal-volume ellipsoids instead of the minimal-volume ellipsoids, one can prove in a similar way that the subset  $J(n)$ , defined at the beginning of this subsection, is also a global  $O(n)$ -slice for  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . However, it follows from a result of H. Abels [1, Lemma 2.3] that the two global  $O(n)$ -slices  $J(n)$  and  $L(n)$  are equivalent in the sense that there exists an  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $f: cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f(L(n)) = J(n)$ . Consequently, all the results stated in terms of  $L(n)$  have also their dual analogs in terms of  $J(n)$ , which can be proven by trivial modification of our proofs of the corresponding “ $L(n)$ -results”.

#### 4. THE HYPERSPACE $M(n)$

Let us denote by  $M(n)$  the  $O(n)$ -invariant subspace of  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of all  $A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\max_{a \in A} \|a\| = 1$ . Thus,  $M(n)$  consists of all compact convex subsets of  $\mathbb{B}^n$  which intersect the boundary sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

It is evident that  $M(n)$  is closed in  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Due to compactness of  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$  (a well-known fact) it then follows that  $M(n)$  is compact as well. The importance of  $M(n)$  lies in the property that  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the open cone over it (see Section 7). In this section we will prove that  $M(n)$  is also homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube (Corollary 4.13) and its orbit space  $M(n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Banach-Mazur compactum  $\text{BM}(n)$  (Theorem 4.16).

Let us recall that a  $G$ -space  $X$  is called *strictly  $G$ -contractible* if there exists a  $G$ -homotopy  $F : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  and a  $G$ -fixed point  $a \in X$  such that  $F(x, 0) = x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $F(x, t) = a$  if and only if  $t = 1$  or  $x = a$ .

**Lemma 4.1.**  $M(n)$  is strictly  $O(n)$ -contractible to its only  $O(n)$ -fixed point  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

*Proof.* The map  $F : M(n) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M(n)$  defined by

$$F(A, t) = (1 - t)A + t\mathbb{B}^n$$

is the desired  $O(n)$ -contraction.  $\square$

Consider the map  $\nu : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \nu(A) = \max_{a \in A} \|a\|, \quad A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

**Lemma 4.2.**  $\nu$  is a uniformly continuous  $O(n)$ -invariant map.

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $A, B \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and suppose that  $d_H(A, B) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $a \in A$  be such that  $\nu(A) = \|a\|$ . Then there exists a point  $b \in B$  with  $\|a - b\| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\|b\| \leq \nu(B)$  we have the following inequalities:

$$\varepsilon > \|a - b\| \geq \|a\| - \|b\| \geq \nu(A) - \nu(B).$$

Similarly, we can prove that  $\nu(B) - \nu(A) < \varepsilon$ , and hence,  $\nu$  is uniformly continuous.

Now, if  $g \in O(n)$  then  $\|gx\| = \|x\|$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus,

$$\nu(gA) = \max_{a' \in gA} \|a'\| = \max_{a \in A} \|ga\| = \max_{a \in A} \|a\| = \nu(A).$$

This proves that  $\nu$  is  $O(n)$ -invariant, as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.**  $M(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR with a unique  $O(n)$ -fixed point,  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

*Proof.* By [8, Corollary 4.8],  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR. Hence, the complement  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$  is an  $O(n)$ -ANR. The map  $r : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow M(n)$  defined by the rule:

$$(4.2) \quad r(A) = \frac{1}{\nu(A)}A$$

is an  $O(n)$ -retraction, where  $\nu$  is the map defined in (4.1). Thus  $M(n)$ , being an  $O(n)$ -retract of an  $O(n)$ -ANR, is itself an  $O(n)$ -ANR. On the other hand, it was shown in Lemma 4.1 that  $M(n)$  is  $O(n)$ -contractible to its point  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Since every  $O(n)$ -contractible  $O(n)$ -ANR space is  $O(n)$ -AR (see [3]) we conclude that  $M(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma will be used several times throughout the rest of the paper:

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a finite number of points. Let  $K \subset O(n)$  be a closed subgroup which acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Then the boundary  $\partial D$  of the convex hull

$$D = \text{conv} (K(p_1) \cup \dots \cup K(p_k))$$

does not contain an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional elliptic domain, i.e.,  $\partial D$  does not contain an open subset  $V \subset \partial D$  which at the same time is an open connected subset of some  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional ellipsoid surface lying in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Assume the contrary, that there exists an open subset  $V \subset \partial D$  of the boundary  $\partial D$  which is an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional elliptic domain. Recall that a convex body  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called strictly convex, if every boundary point  $a \in \partial A$  is an extreme point; that is to say that the complement  $A \setminus \{a\}$  is convex. Since every ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is strictly convex, we conclude that every point  $v \in V$  is an extreme point for  $D$  too. This is easy to show.

Indeed, suppose that there are two distinct points  $b, c \in D$  such that  $v$  belongs to the relative interior of the line segment  $[b, c] = \{\lambda b + (1 - \lambda)c \mid \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ . Since  $v$  is a boundary point of  $D$ , it then follows that the whole segment  $[b, c]$  lies in the boundary  $\partial D$ . Next, since  $V$  is open in  $\partial D$ , we infer that for  $b$  and  $c$  sufficiently close to  $v$ , the line segment  $[b, c]$  is contained in  $V$ . However, this is impossible because  $V$  is an elliptic domain.

Thus, we have proved that every point  $v \in V$  is an extreme point for  $D$ . Next, since  $D$  is the convex hull of the set  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k K(p_i)$ , each extreme point of  $D$  lies in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k K(p_i)$  (see, e.g., [29, Corollary 2.6.4]). This implies that  $V$  is contained in the union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k K(p_i)$ . Further, due to connectedness of  $V$ , it then follows that  $V$  is contained in only one  $K(p_i)$ . Next, let us show that this is impossible.

Indeed, since  $K(p_i)$  lies on the  $(n - 1)$ -sphere  $\partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$  centered at the origin and having the radius  $\|p_i\|$ , the set  $V$  should be a domain of this sphere. As  $K(p_i)$  is a homogeneous compact space, there exists a finite cover  $\{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$  of  $K(p_i)$ , where each  $V_j$  is homeomorphic to  $V$ . Then, by the Domain Invariance Theorem (see, e.g., [25, Ch. 4, Section 7, Theorem 16]), each  $V_j$  is open in the sphere  $\partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$ . Hence, the union  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m = K(p_i)$  is open in the sphere  $\partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$ . But  $K(p_i)$  is also compact, and therefore, closed in  $\partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$ . Thus  $K(p_i)$  is an open and closed subset

of the connected space  $\partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$ , and consequently,  $K(p_i) = \partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$ . This yields that  $K$  acts transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

The Fell topology in  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the topology generated by the sets of the form:

$$U^- = \{A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid A \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \\ (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)^+ = \{A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K\},$$

where  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open and  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact.

It is well known that the Fell topology and the Hausdorff metric topology coincide in  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see, e.g., [24, Remark 2]). In particular, both topologies coincide in  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This fact will be used in the proof of the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $T \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a convex body and  $\mathcal{H} \subset cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  a subset such that for every  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ , the intersection  $A \cap T$  has nonempty interior. Then the map  $v : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by

$$v(A) = A \cap T, \quad A \in \mathcal{H}$$

is continuous.

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $v^{-1}(U^-)$  and  $v^{-1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)^+)$  are open in  $\mathcal{H}$  for every open  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

First, suppose that  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open and  $A \in v^{-1}(U^-)$ . Then  $U \cap (A \cap T) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $U$  is open and  $A \cap T$  is a convex body, there exists a point  $x_0$  in the interior of  $A \cap T$  such that  $x_0 \in U$ . So, one can find  $\delta > 0$  satisfying

$$\overline{N(x_0, 2\delta)} \subset U \cap (A \cap T).$$

In accordance with Lemma 3.1, if  $C \in O(A, \delta) \cap \mathcal{H}$  then  $N(x_0, \delta) \subset C$ . Since  $x_0 \in U \cap T$ , we conclude that  $U \cap v(C) = U \cap (C \cap T) \neq \emptyset$ . This proves that  $O(A, \delta) \cap \mathcal{H} \subset v^{-1}(U^-)$ , and hence,  $v^{-1}(U^-)$  is open in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Consider now a compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and suppose  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  is such that  $v(A) \cap K = \emptyset$ . If  $K \cap T = \emptyset$  then  $\mathcal{H} = v^{-1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)^+)$  which is open in  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $K \cap T \neq \emptyset$  then we define

$$\eta = \inf \{d(a, x) \mid a \in A, x \in K \cap T\}.$$

Since  $(A \cap T) \cap K = \emptyset$ , we have that  $\eta > 0$ . Let  $C \in O(A, \eta) \cap \mathcal{H}$  and suppose that  $v(C)$  meets  $K$ . Then there exists a point  $x_0 \in C \cap T \cap K$ . Since  $C$  belongs to the  $\eta$ -neighborhood of  $A$ , we can find a point  $a \in A$  such that  $d(a, x_0) < \eta$ , contradicting to the choice of  $\eta$ . Then we conclude that

$$O(A, \eta) \cap \mathcal{H} \subset v^{-1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)^+),$$

and hence,  $v^{-1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)^+)$  is open in  $\mathcal{H}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Denote by  $M_0(n)$  the complement  $M(n) \setminus \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** For each closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $K$ -equivariant map  $\chi_\varepsilon : M(n) \rightarrow M_0(n)$  which is  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $M(n)$ . In particular,  $\chi_\varepsilon(M(n)^K) \subset M_0(n)^K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow M(n)$  be the  $O(n)$ -equivariant retraction defined in (4.2). Since  $M(n)$  is compact, one can find a real  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon/2$  such that  $d_H(r(A), A) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $A$  belonging to the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of  $M(n)$  in  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ .

Choose a convex polyhedron  $P \subset \mathbb{B}^n$  with nonempty interior,  $\delta/4$ -close to  $\mathbb{B}^n$  such that all the vertices  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  of  $P$  lie on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \partial\mathbb{B}^n$ . Then the convex hull

$$T = \text{conv}(K(p_1) \cup \dots \cup K(p_k))$$

is a compact convex  $K$ -invariant subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By Lemma 4.4, the boundary  $\partial T$  does not contain an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional elliptic domain. Furthermore,

$$(4.3) \quad d_H(\mathbb{B}^n, T) \leq d_H(\mathbb{B}^n, P) < \delta/4.$$

Let  $h : M(n) \rightarrow M(n)$  be defined as follows:

$$h(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{B}^n \mid d(x, A) \leq \delta/2\}, \quad \text{for every } A \in M(n).$$

Clearly,  $h(A) \cap T$  is a nonempty set with a nonempty interior.

Then setting

$$\chi'(A) = h(A) \cap T$$

we obtain a map  $\chi' : M(n) \rightarrow cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $T$  is a  $K$ -fixed point of  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we see that  $\chi'$  is  $K$ -equivariant.

Continuity of  $\chi'$  follows from the one of  $h$  and Lemma 4.5.

We claim that for any  $A \in M(n)$ ,  $\chi'(A)$  is not a closed Euclidean ball centered at the origin.

Indeed, if  $h(A) \subset T$  then  $h(A) \neq \mathbb{B}^n$  since  $T$  is strictly contained in  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . In this case  $\chi'(A) = h(A) \cap T = h(A)$ , and hence,  $\chi'(A) \in M(n)$ . However, the only Euclidean ball centered at the origin that belongs to  $M(n)$  is  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . But  $\chi'(A) = h(A) \neq \mathbb{B}^n$ .

If  $h(A)$  is not contained in  $T$ , then the boundary of  $\chi'(A)$  contains a domain lying in the boundary  $\partial T$ . Since the boundary  $\partial T$  does not contain an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional elliptic domain (as shown in Lemma 4.4), we conclude that  $\chi'(A)$  is not an ellipsoid. In particular,  $\chi'(A)$  is not a Euclidean ball centered at the origin, and the claim is proved.

Now we assert that the composition  $\chi = r \circ \chi'$  is the desired map. Indeed,  $r(A) = \mathbb{B}^n$  if and only if  $A$  is a Euclidean ball centered at the origin. Since  $\chi'(A)$  is not a Euclidean ball centered at the origin, we infer that  $\chi(A) = r(\chi'(A)) \neq \mathbb{B}^n$  for every  $A \in M(n)$ . Thus  $\chi : M(n) \rightarrow M_0(n)$  is a well-defined map. It is continuous and  $K$ -equivariant because  $\chi'$  and  $r$  are so.

Now, if  $x \in \chi'(A)$  then  $x \in h(A)$ . Hence,  $d(x, A) \leq \delta/2 < \delta$  and  $\chi'(A) \subset N(A, \delta)$ . On the other hand, if  $a \in A \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ , then due to (4.3) there exists a point  $x \in T$  such that  $d(x, a) < \delta/4 < \delta/2$ . Therefore,  $x \in h(A) \cap T = \chi'(A)$ , and hence,  $A \subset N(\chi'(A), \delta/2)$ . This proves that  $d_H(A, \chi'(A)) < \delta$ .

By the choice of  $\delta$  the last inequality implies that  $d_H(r(\chi'(A)), \chi'(A)) \leq \varepsilon/2$ . Then for all  $A \in M(n)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(\chi(A), A) &\leq d_H(\chi(A), \chi'(A)) + d_H(\chi'(A), A) \\ &= d_H(r(\chi'(A)), \chi'(A)) + d_H(\chi'(A), A) \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + \delta < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\chi$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $M(n)$ , and the proof is now complete. □

Observe that the induced action of  $O(n)$  on  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is isometric with respect to the Hausdorff metric. In particular, for every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , the Hausdorff metric on  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is  $K$ -invariant.

Let  $d_H^*$  be the metric on  $M(n)/K$  induced by the Hausdorff metric on  $M(n)$  as defined in equation (2.1):

$$d_H^*(K(A), K(B)) = \inf_{k \in K} d_H(A, kB), \quad A, B \in M(n).$$

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $K \subset O(n)$  be a closed subgroup that acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  then

- (1) the singleton  $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $M(n)^K$ ,
- (2) the class of  $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $M(n)/K$ .

*Proof.* The first statement follows directly from Proposition 4.6. For the second statement take  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Proposition 4.6, there exists a  $K$ -map  $\chi_\varepsilon : M(n) \rightarrow M_0(n)$  such that  $d_H(A, \chi_\varepsilon(A)) < \varepsilon$  for every  $A \in M(n)$ . This induces a continuous map  $\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon : M(n)/K \rightarrow M_0/K$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon(K(A)) = \pi(\chi_\varepsilon(A)) = K(\xi_\varepsilon(A)), \quad A \in M(n),$$

where  $\pi : M(n) \rightarrow M(n)/K$  is the  $K$ -orbit map. According to inequality (2.2) we have:

$$d_H^*(K(\chi_\varepsilon(A)), K(A)) \leq d_H(\chi_\varepsilon(A), A) < \varepsilon$$

and thus,  $\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $M(n)/K$ .

On the other hand, since  $\{\chi_\varepsilon(A)\} \neq \{\mathbb{B}^n\} = K(\mathbb{B}^n)$  for every  $A \in M(n)$ , we conclude that

$$\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon(M(n)/K) \cap \{\mathbb{B}^n\} = \emptyset,$$

which proves that the class of  $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$  is a  $Z$ -set on  $M(n)/K$ .  $\square$

Now, we shall give a sequence of lemmas and propositions culminating in Corollary 4.15

Denote by  $\mathcal{R}(n)$  the subspace of  $M(n)$  consisting of all  $A \in M(n)$  such that the contact set  $A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  has empty interior in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

For every  $A \in M(n)$ , the intersection  $A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is nonempty, and therefore, there exists a point  $a \in A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . If  $O(n)_A$  is the  $O(n)$ -stabilizer of  $A$  then  $O(n)_A(a) \subset A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Therefore, if  $A$  is different from  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , the subset  $O(n)_A(a)$  should be different from  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and thus,  $O(n)_A$  acts non-transitively on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $D \in M_0(n)$  there exist  $A \in \mathcal{R}(n)$  such that  $d_H(D, A) < \varepsilon$  and the  $O(n)$ -stabilizer  $O(n)_A$  coincides with the  $O(n)$ -stabilizer  $O(n)_D$ .

*Proof.* According to Theorem 2.2, there is a real  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon$  such that if  $d_H(C, D) < \eta$  then the stabilizer  $O(n)_C$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $O(n)_D$ . Let  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in D$  be such that the convex hull  $P = \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_k\})$  belongs to  $M(n)$  (it is enough to choose one of the  $p_i$ 's lying in  $\partial D \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ) and  $d_H(D, P) < \eta$ . Next, we define

$$A = \text{conv}(O(n)_D(p_1) \cup \dots \cup O(n)_D(p_k)).$$

Clearly,  $A \in M(n)$  and

$$d_H(D, A) \leq d_H(D, P) < \eta < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $O(n)_D$  acts non-transitively on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , we can apply Lemma 4.4, according to which the boundary  $\partial A$  does not contain an  $(n-1)$ -elliptic domain. In particular, the contact set  $\partial A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  has empty interior in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , i.e.,  $A \in \mathcal{R}(n)$ .

Because of the choice of  $\eta$  the stabilizer  $O(n)_A$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $O(n)_D$ . On the other hand,  $A$  is an  $O(n)_D$ -invariant subset, i.e.,  $O(n)_D \subset O(n)_A$ . This implies that  $O(n)_A = O(n)_D$ , as required.  $\square$

The following lemma is just a special case of [8, Theorem 4.5].

**Lemma 4.9.** Let  $X \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be any convex set. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the open ball in  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the radius  $\varepsilon$  centered at  $X$  is convex, i.e., if  $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\} \subset cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a finite family such that for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,  $d_H(A_i, X) < \varepsilon$ , then the set

$$\sum_{i=1}^k t_i A_i = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k t_i a_i \mid a_i \in A_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k \right\}$$

is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $X$ , where  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \in [0, 1]$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i = 1$ .

Perhaps, the following is the key result of this section:

**Proposition 4.10.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $O(n)$ -map  $f_\varepsilon : M_0(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(n)$ ,  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $M_0(n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{O(X, \varepsilon/4)\}_{X \in M_0(n)}$  be the open cover of  $M_0(n)$  consisting of all open balls of radius  $\varepsilon/4$ . By [7, Lemma 4.1], there exists an  $O(n)$ -normal cover of  $M_0(n)$  (see Section 2 for the definition),

$$\mathcal{W} = \{gS_\mu \mid g \in O(n), \mu \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

satisfying the following two conditions:

- a)  $\mathcal{W}$  is a star-refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$ . That is to say that for each  $gS_\mu \in \mathcal{W}$ , there exists an element  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  that contains the star of  $gS_\mu$  with respect to  $\mathcal{W}$ , i.e.,

$$\text{St}(gS_\mu, \mathcal{W}) = \bigcup \{hS_\lambda \in \mathcal{W} \mid hS_\lambda \cap gS_\mu \neq \emptyset\} \subset V.$$

- b) For each  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ , the set  $S_\mu$  is an  $H_\mu$ -slice, where  $H_\mu$  coincides with the stabilizer  $O(n)_{X_\mu}$  of a certain point  $X_\mu \in S_\mu$ .

Since  $X_\mu \in M_0(n)$ , we see that  $H_\mu$  acts non-transitively on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.8, there exists  $A_\mu \in \mathcal{R}(n)$  which is  $\varepsilon/4$ -close to  $X_\mu$  and  $O(n)_{A_\mu} = H_\mu$ .

For every  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ , let us denote  $O_\mu = O(n)(S_\mu)$ . Let  $F_\mu : O_\mu \rightarrow O(n)(A_\mu)$  be the map defined by

$$F_\mu(gZ) = gA_\mu, \quad Z \in S_\mu, \quad g \in O(n).$$

Clearly  $F_\mu$  is a well-defined continuous  $O(n)$ -map.

Fix an invariant locally finite partition of unity  $\{p_\mu\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}}$  subordinated to the open cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{O_\mu\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}}$ , i.e.,

$$\overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])} \subset O_\mu \quad \text{for every } \mu \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$  be the nerve of the cover  $\mathcal{U}$  and suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  is its vertex set. Denote by  $|\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$  the geometric realization of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ . Recall that every point  $\alpha \in |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$  can be expressed as a sum  $\alpha = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \alpha_\mu v_\mu$ , where  $v_\mu$  is the geometric vertex corresponding to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\alpha_\mu, \mu \in \mathcal{M}$  are the barycentric coordinates of  $\alpha$ .

For a simplex  $\sigma$  of the nerve  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$  with the vertices  $\mu_0, \dots, \mu_k$ , we will use the notation  $\sigma = \langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle$ . By  $|\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle|$  we denote the corresponding geometric simplex with the geometric vertices  $v_{\mu_0}, \dots, v_{\mu_k}$ .

For every geometric simplex  $|\sigma| = |\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle| \subset |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$ , let us denote by  $\beta(\sigma) \in |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$  the geometric barycenter of  $|\sigma|$ , i.e.,  $\beta(\sigma) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \beta(\sigma)_\mu v_\mu$ , where

$$\beta(\sigma)_\mu = \begin{cases} 1/k + 1, & \text{if } \mu \in \{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_k\}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \mu \notin \{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_k\}. \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the map  $\Psi : |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})| \rightarrow |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$  defined in each  $\alpha = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \alpha_\mu v_\mu \in |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$  as follows: if  $|\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle|$  is the carrier of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_{\mu_0} \geq \alpha_{\mu_1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_{\mu_k}$ , then

$$\Psi(\alpha) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})} \Psi(\alpha)_\sigma \beta(\sigma)$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad \Psi(\alpha)_\sigma = \begin{cases} (i+1)(\alpha_{\mu_i} - \alpha_{\mu_{i+1}}), & \text{if } \sigma = \langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle, \quad i = 0, \dots, k-1, \\ (k+1)\alpha_{\mu_k}, & \text{if } \sigma = \langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle, \\ 0, & \text{if } \sigma \neq \langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle, \quad i = 0, \dots, k. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that  $\Psi$  is the identity map of  $|\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$  written in the barycentric coordinates with respect to the first barycentric subdivision of  $|\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$ ; we shall need this representation in the sequel.

Let  $p : M_0(n) \rightarrow |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$  be the canonical map defined by

$$p(X) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} p_\mu(X) v_\mu, \quad X \in M_0(n).$$

Since each  $p_\mu$  is  $O(n)$ -invariant, the map  $p$  is also  $O(n)$ -invariant.

For every simplex  $\sigma = \langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$  the set  $V_\sigma = O_{\mu_0} \cap \dots \cap O_{\mu_k}$  is a nonempty open subset of  $M_0(n)$ . Continuity of the union operator and the convex hull operator (see, e.g., [28, Corollary 5.3.7] and [29, Theorem 2.7.4 (iv)]) imply that the map  $\Omega'_\sigma : V_\sigma \rightarrow M_0(n)$  given by

$$\Omega'_\sigma(X) = \text{conv} \left( \bigcup_{\mu \in \sigma} F_\mu(X) \right), \quad X \in V_\sigma,$$

is a continuous  $O(n)$ -map.

Observe that  $\Omega'_\sigma(X)$  belongs to  $M_0(n)$  and the contact set  $\Omega'_\sigma(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is contained in the contact set  $(\bigcup_{\mu \in \sigma} F_\mu(X)) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \bigcup_{\mu \in \sigma} (F_\mu(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , and hence,

$$(4.5) \quad \Omega'_\sigma(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \quad \text{has empty interior in } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Fix a set  $B \in M_0(n)$ . For each simplex  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ , we extend the map  $\Omega'_\sigma$  to a function  $\Omega_\sigma : M_0(n) \rightarrow M_0(n)$  as follows:

$$\Omega_\sigma(X) = \begin{cases} \Omega'_\sigma(X) & \text{if } X \in V_\sigma, \\ B, & \text{if } X \notin V_\sigma. \end{cases}$$

The desired map  $f_\varepsilon : M_0(n) \rightarrow M_0(n)$  can now be defined by the formula:

$$f_\varepsilon(X) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(X), \quad X \in M_0(n).$$

For every  $X \in M_0(n)$ , let  $Q(X)$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  consisting of all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $X \in p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])$ . Similarly, denote by  $Q'(X)$  the subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  consisting of all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $X \in \overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])}$ .

It is clear that  $Q(X) \subset Q'(X)$  and, due to local finiteness of the cover  $\{\overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])}\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}}$ , both sets are finite. Moreover, it follows from the formula (4.4) that  $\Psi(p(X))_\sigma = 0$  whenever  $\sigma \not\subset Q'(X)$ .

Then, for every  $X \in M_0(n)$  we have:

$$(4.6) \quad f_\varepsilon(X) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q(X)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(X) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q'(X)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(X).$$

To see the continuity of  $f_\varepsilon$ , let us fix an arbitrary point  $C \in M_0(n)$ . Define

$$V = \left( \bigcap_{\mu \in Q'(C)} O_\mu \right) \setminus \bigcup_{\mu \notin Q'(C)} \overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])}.$$

Since the family  $\{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}}$  is locally finite, the union  $\bigcup_{\mu \notin Q'(C)} \overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])}$  is closed, and therefore,  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $C$ . It is evident that for every  $X \in V$ , the set  $Q(X)$  is contained in  $Q'(C)$ . Using equality (4.6), we infer that

$$f_\varepsilon(X) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q'(C)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(X) \quad \text{for every } X \in V.$$

Observe that  $V \subset V_\sigma$  for every simplex  $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$  such that  $\sigma \subset Q'(C)$ , and hence, the restriction  $\Omega_\sigma|_V = \Omega'_\sigma|_V$  is continuous in  $V$ .

On the other hand,  $\Psi(p(X))_\sigma$  is just the  $\beta(\sigma)$ -th baricentric coordinate of  $\Psi(p(X))$ . Thus, for every  $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ , the map  $X \mapsto \Psi(p(X))_\sigma$  depends continuously on  $X$ . So,  $f_\varepsilon|_V$  is a finite sum of continuous functions and therefore it is also continuous in  $V$ . Consequently,  $f_\varepsilon$  is continuous at the point  $C \in M_0(n)$ , as required.

If  $g \in O(n)$  and  $X \in M_0(n)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(gX) &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q(X)}} \Psi(p(gX))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(gX) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q(X)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega'_\sigma(gX) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma (g \Omega'_\sigma(X)) = g \left( \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q(X)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega'_\sigma(X) \right) \\ &= g \left( \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q(X)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(X) \right) = g f_\varepsilon(X), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $f_\varepsilon$  is  $O(n)$ -equivariant.

To see that  $f_\varepsilon(X)$  belongs to  $M_0(n)$ , let us suppose that

$$Q(X) = \{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\mu_0}(X) \geq p_{\mu_1}(X) \geq \dots \geq p_{\mu_k}(X).$$

Then, according to equalities (4.4) and (4.6), the set  $f_\varepsilon(X)$  can be seen as the convex sum:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(X) &= (k+1)p_{\mu_k}(X)\Omega_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle}(X) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1) \left( p_{\mu_i}(X) - p_{\mu_{i+1}}(X) \right) \Omega_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \\ &= (k+1)p_{\mu_k}(X)\Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle}(X) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1) \left( p_{\mu_i}(X) - p_{\mu_{i+1}}(X) \right) \Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f_\varepsilon(X)$  is a convex subset contained in  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Furthermore, observe that  $F_{\mu_0}(X) \subset \Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X)$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, k$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu_0}(X) &= (k+1)p_{\mu_k}(X)F_{\mu_0}(X) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1) \left( p_{\mu_i}(X) - p_{\mu_{i+1}}(X) \right) F_{\mu_0}(X) \\ &\subset (k+1)p_{\mu_k}(X)\Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle}(X) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1) \left( p_{\mu_i}(X) - p_{\mu_{i+1}}(X) \right) \Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \\ &= f_\varepsilon(X). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_{\mu_0}(X) \in M_0(n)$ , the inclusion  $F_{\mu_0}(X) \subset f_\varepsilon(X)$  yields that  $f_\varepsilon(X) \in M_0(n)$ .

On the other hand, the contact set  $f_\varepsilon(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is contained in

$$\left( \bigcup_{i=0}^k \Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \right) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \bigcup_{i=0}^k \left( \Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \right).$$

Further, since by (4.5), each  $\Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  has empty interior in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , we infer that the finite union  $\bigcup_{i=0}^k \left( \Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \right)$  also has empty interior in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . This yields that  $f_\varepsilon(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  has empty interior in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , as required.

It remains only to prove that  $d_H(X, f_\varepsilon(X)) < \varepsilon$  for every  $X \in M_0(n)$ .

Since  $f_\varepsilon(X)$  is a convex sum of the sets  $\Omega_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ , according to Lemma 4.9, it is enough to prove that  $\Omega_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $X$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, k$ .

Recall that  $\Omega_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) = \text{conv} \left( \bigcup_{j=0}^i F_{\mu_j}(X) \right)$ , and hence, we only have to prove that each  $F_{\mu_j}(X)$  satisfies  $d_H(X, F_{\mu_j}(X)) < \varepsilon$ .

For this purpose, suppose that  $g_j \in O(n)$  is such that  $F_{\mu_j}(X) = g_j A_{\mu_j}$ . Then  $X \in g_j S_{\mu_j}$  and  $g_j X_{\mu_j} \in g_j S_{\mu_j}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is a star-refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$ , there exists a point  $Z \in M_0(n)$  such that the star  $St(X, \mathcal{W}) = \bigcup \{gS_\mu \in \mathcal{W} \mid X \in gS_\mu\}$  is contained in  $O(Z, \varepsilon/4)$ . In particular,

$$(4.7) \quad d_H(X, Z) < \varepsilon/4 \quad \text{and} \quad d_H(g_j X_{\mu_j}, Z) < \varepsilon/4.$$

This implies that  $d_H(g_j X_{\mu_j}, X) < \varepsilon/2$ . By the choice of  $A_{\mu_j}$ , we have that  $d_H(A_{\mu_j}, X_{\mu_j}) < \varepsilon/4$ . Since the Hausdorff metric is  $O(n)$ -invariant we get

$$d_H(g_j A_{\mu_j}, g_j X_{\mu_j}) = d_H(A_{\mu_j}, X_{\mu_j}) < \varepsilon/4,$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(X, F_{\mu_j}(X)) &= d_H(X, g_j A_{\mu_j}) \\ &\leq d_H(X, g_j X_{\mu_j}) + d_H(g_j X_{\mu_j}, g_j A_{\mu_j}) \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/4 < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.11.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $O(n)$ -map,  $h_\varepsilon : M_0(n) \rightarrow M_0(n) \setminus \mathcal{R}(n)$ ,  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $M_0(n)$ .

*Proof.* Define a continuous map  $\gamma : M_0(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the rule:

$$\gamma(A) = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\varepsilon, d_H(\mathbb{B}^n, A)\}, \quad \text{for every } A \in M_0(n).$$

Let  $h_\varepsilon(A)$  be just the closed  $\gamma(A)$ -neighborhood of  $A$  in  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , i.e.,

$$h_\varepsilon(A) = A_{\gamma(A)} = \{x \in \mathbb{B}^n \mid d(x, A) \leq \gamma(A)\}, \quad A \in M_0(n).$$

By the choice of  $\gamma(A)$ , the set  $h_\varepsilon(A)$  is different from  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , and since  $A \subset h_\varepsilon(A)$ , we see that  $h_\varepsilon(A) \in M_0(n)$ . Even more,  $h_\varepsilon(A) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  has nonempty interior in the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Thus,  $h_\varepsilon(A) \in M_0(n) \setminus \mathcal{R}(n)$ .

By [7, Lemma 5.3],  $d_H(A, A_{\gamma(A)}) < \gamma_A < \varepsilon$  which implies that  $h_\varepsilon$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $M_0(n)$ .

Let us check the continuity of  $h_\varepsilon$ . For any  $A, C \in M_0(n)$  the following inequality holds:

$$d_H(h_\varepsilon(A), h_\varepsilon(C)) = d_H(A_{\gamma(A)}, C_{\gamma(C)}) \leq d_H(A_{\gamma(A)}, A_{\gamma(C)}) + d_H(A_{\gamma(C)}, C_{\gamma(C)}).$$

But,

$$d_H(A_{\gamma(A)}, A_{\gamma(C)}) \leq |\gamma(A) - \gamma(C)| \quad \text{and} \quad d_H(A_{\gamma(C)}, C_{\gamma(C)}) \leq d_H(A, C)$$

(see, e.g., [7, Lemma 5.3]).

Consequently, we get:

$$d_H(h_\varepsilon(A), h_\varepsilon(C)) \leq |\gamma(A) - \gamma(C)| + d_H(A, C).$$

Now the continuity of  $\gamma$  implies the one of  $h_\varepsilon$ . □

As a consequence of Propositions 4.10 and 4.11 we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 4.12.** For any closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , the  $K$ -orbit space  $M_0(n)/K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold.

*Proof.* Consider the metric on  $M_0(n)/K$  induced by  $d_H$  according to equality (2.1).

Clearly,  $M_0(n)$  is a locally compact space, and thus, the orbit space  $M_0(n)/K$  is also locally compact. Since  $M(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR, and  $M_0(n)$  is an open  $O(n)$ -invariant set in  $M(n)$ , we infer that  $M_0(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -ANR. This in turn implies that  $M_0(n)$  is a  $K$ -ANR (see, e.g., [27]). Then, by Theorem 2.3, the orbit space  $M_0(n)/K$  is an ANR.

According to Toruńczyk's Characterization Theorem [26, Theorem 1], it remains to check that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist continuous maps  $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon, \tilde{h}_\varepsilon : M_0(n)/K \rightarrow M_0(n)/K$ ,  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $M_0(n)/K$  such that the images  $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon$  and  $\text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon$  are disjoint.

Let  $f_\varepsilon$  and  $h_\varepsilon$  be the  $O(n)$ -maps from Propositions 4.10 and 4.11, respectively. They induce continuous maps  $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon : M_0(n)/K \rightarrow M_0(n)/K$  and

$\tilde{h}_\varepsilon : M_0(n)/K \rightarrow M_0(n)/K$ . Since  $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon = (\text{Im } f_\varepsilon)/K$ ,  $\text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon = (\text{Im } h_\varepsilon)/K$  and  $\text{Im } f_\varepsilon \cap \text{Im } h_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ , we infer that  $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon \cap \text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ .

On the other hand, since  $f_\varepsilon$  and  $h_\varepsilon$  are  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $M_0(n)$ , using inequality (2.2), we get that  $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon$  are  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $M_0(n)/K$ . This completes the proof. □

**Corollary 4.13.** For any closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the  $K$ -orbit space  $M(n)/K$  is a Hilbert cube. In particular,  $M(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.

*Proof.* We have already seen in Corollary 4.7 that the singleton  $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $M(n)/K$ . Observe that the  $Q$ -manifold  $M_0(n)/K$  can be seen as the complement  $(M(n)/K) \setminus \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ . It then follows from [26, §3] that  $M(n)/K$  is also a  $Q$ -manifold. Furthermore,  $M(n)/K$  is compact and contractible. But since the only compact contractible  $Q$ -manifold is the Hilbert cube (see [28, Theorem 7.5.8]), we conclude that  $M(n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. □

**Corollary 4.14.** For any closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the  $K$ -fixed point set  $M(n)^K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.

*Proof.* Since  $M(n)$  is compact and  $M(n)^K$  is closed in  $M(n)$ , we see that  $M(n)^K$  is also compact. By Theorem 4.3,  $M(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR. This, in combination with [9, Theorem 3.7], yields that  $M(n)^K$  is an AR. In particular,  $M(n)^K$  is contractible.

Let  $f_\varepsilon$  and  $h_\varepsilon$  be the  $O(n)$ -maps from Propositions 4.10 and 4.11, respectively. Due to equivariance, we have

$$f_\varepsilon(M_0(n)^K) \subset M_0(n)^K \quad \text{and} \quad h_\varepsilon(M_0(n)^K) \subset M_0(n)^K.$$

By virtue of Toruńczyk’s Characterization Theorem [26, Theorem 1], we conclude that  $M_0(n)^K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold. But  $M_0(n)^K = M(n)^K \setminus \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$  and Corollary 4.7 implies that the singleton  $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $M(n)^K$ . This yields that  $M(n)^K$  is also a  $Q$ -manifold (see [26, §3]). Furthermore,  $M(n)^K$  is compact and contractible. Since the only compact contractible  $Q$ -manifold is the Hilbert cube (see [28, Theorem 7.5.8]), we conclude that  $M(n)^K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. □

We resume all the above results about the  $O(n)$ -space  $M(n)$  in the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.15.**  $M(n)$  is a Hilbert cube endowed with an  $O(n)$ -action satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $M(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR with a unique  $O(n)$ -fixed point,  $\mathbb{B}^n$ ,
- (2)  $M(n)$  is strictly  $O(n)$ -contractible to  $\mathbb{B}^n$ ,
- (3) For a closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , the set  $M(n)^K$  equals the singleton  $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$  if and only if  $K$  acts transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and  $M(n)^K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube whenever  $M(n)^K \neq \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ ,
- (4) For any closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , the  $K$ -orbit space  $M_0(n)/K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold.

This corollary in combination with [10, Theorem 3.3], yields the following:

**Theorem 4.16.** The orbit space  $M(n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Banach-Mazur compactum  $\text{BM}(n)$ .

## 5. SOME PROPERTIES OF $L(n)$

Recall that  $L(n)$  is the hyperspace of all compact convex bodies for which the Euclidean unit ball is the minimum-volume ellipsoid of Löwner.

In [7] the subset  $L'(n)$  of  $L(n)$  consisting of all  $A \in L(n)$  with  $A = -A$  was studied. It turns out that  $L(n)$  enjoys all the properties of  $L'(n)$  established in [7], and an easy modification of the method developed in [7, section 5] allows one to establish similar properties of  $L(n)$ . However, seeking for completeness, we shall provide in this section some more specific details and appropriate new references.

**Proposition 5.1.**  $L(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR.

*Proof.* It was proved in [8, Corollary 4.8] that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR. Since  $L(n)$  is a global  $O(n)$ -slice in  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , according to Corollary 3.9(2), there exists an  $O(n)$ -equivariant retraction  $r : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$ . This yields that  $L(n)$  is also an  $O(n)$ -AR.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** The map  $F : L(n) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow L(n)$  defined by

$$F(A, t) = (1 - t)A + t\mathbb{B}^n$$

is an  $O(n)$ -strict contraction such that  $F(A, 1) = \mathbb{B}^n$ . In particular, for every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , the orbit space  $L(n)/K$  is contractible to its point  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

*Proof.* It is evident that  $F$  satisfies the first condition of the proposition. Letting  $\tilde{F}(K(A), t) = K(F(A, t))$  we obtain a deformation of  $L(n)/K$  to the point  $\mathbb{B}^n \in L(n)/K$ , thus proving that  $L(n)/K$  is contractible.  $\square$

By  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  we will denote the subset of  $L(n)$  consisting of all compact convex bodies  $A \in L(n)$  such that the contact set  $A \cap \partial\mathbb{B}^n$  has empty interior in the boundary sphere  $\partial\mathbb{B}^n = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

Denote by  $L_0(n)$  the complement  $L(n) \setminus \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each convex body  $X \in L_0(n)$ , there exists a convex body  $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  such that  $d_H(X, A) < \varepsilon$  and the  $O(n)$ -stabilizer  $O(n)_A$  coincides with the  $O(n)$ -stabilizer  $O(n)_X$ .

Although the proof of Lemma 5.3 is similar to the one of Lemma 4.8, there is a significant difference, and for this reason we shall present the complete proof here.

*Proof.* Let  $r : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$  be the  $O(n)$ -equivariant retraction used in the proof of Proposition 5.1 (c.f. Corollary 3.9(2)). According to Theorem 2.2, there is a  $O(n)_X$ -slice  $S$  such that  $X \in S$  and  $[O(n)_C] \preceq [O(n)_X]$  whenever  $C \in O(n)(S)$ . Since  $O(n)(S)$  is open, there exist a number  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon$  such that  $O(X, \eta) \subset O(n)(S)$ . In particular, if  $C \in O(X, \eta)$  then  $[O(n)_C] \preceq [O(n)_X]$ .

Since  $L(n)$  is compact, there exists  $0 < \delta < \eta/2$  such that  $d_H(r(C), C) < \eta/2$  for every  $C$  lying in the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of  $L(n)$ .

Let  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \partial X$  be such that the convex hull  $P = \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_k\})$  has nonempty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $d_H(P, X) < \delta$ . Consider the convex hull

$$D = \text{conv}(O(n)_X(p_1) \cup \dots \cup O(n)_X(p_k)).$$

Since  $P \subset D$ , we see that  $D$  has nonempty interior, and hence,  $D \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $O(n)_X$  acts non-transitively on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , we can apply Lemma 4.4, according to which the boundary  $\partial D$  does not contain an  $(n - 1)$ -elliptic domain. In particular, the contact set  $D \cap \partial l(D)$  does not contain an elliptic domain (recall that here  $l(D)$  denotes the minimal volume ellipsoid containing  $D$ ).

Let  $A = r(D)$ . Since  $A \in L(n)$  and  $A$  lies in the  $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit of  $D$  (see Corollary 3.9(1)), there exists an affine transformation  $g$  such that  $A = gD$ . The contact set  $A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is the image under  $g$  of the contact set  $D \cap \partial l(D)$ , and thus, it has empty interior in the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Hence,  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}(n)$ . The construction of  $P$  guarantees that  $P \subset D \subset X$ , and therefore,

$$d_H(D, X) \leq d_H(P, X) < \delta < \eta/2.$$

By the choice of  $\delta$  one has  $d_H(r(D), D) < \eta/2$ , and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(A, X) &\leq d_H(A, D) + d_H(D, X) \\ &= d_H(r(D), D) + d_H(D, X) < \eta/2 + \eta/2 = \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $d_H(A, X) < \eta < \varepsilon$ , as required.

Furthermore, due to the choice of  $\eta$ ,  $O(n)_A$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $O(n)_X$ . It remains to prove that  $O(n)_X = O(n)_A$ . Since  $D$  is an  $O(n)_X$ -invariant subset, one has  $O(n)_X \subset O(n)_D$ . Also, since  $r$  is an  $O(n)$ -map, we have

$$O(n)_D \subset O(n)_{r(D)} = O(n)_A.$$

Thus,  $O(n)_X \subset O(n)_A$  which implies, in combination with  $[O(n)_A] \preceq [O(n)_X]$ , that  $O(n)_A = O(n)_X$ , as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $O(n)$ -map,  $f_\varepsilon : L_0(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$ ,  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $L_0(n)$ .

*Proof.* Repeat the proof of Proposition 4.10, replacing  $M_0(n)$  by  $L_0(n)$ , as far as the construction of the family  $\{X_\mu\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}}$ . Next, use Lemma 5.3 to find, for every index  $\mu$ , a compact set  $A_\mu$ ,  $\varepsilon/4$ -close to  $X_\mu$  such that  $O(n)_{A_\mu} = H_\mu$ .

Now the proof follows by repeating the rest of the proof of Proposition 4.10, previously replacing  $M_0(n)$  by  $L_0(n)$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(n)$  by  $\mathcal{P}(n)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.5.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $O(n)$ -map,  $h_\varepsilon : L_0(n) \rightarrow L_0(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}(n)$ ,  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $L(n)$  such that  $h_\varepsilon(A) \neq \mathbb{B}^n$  for every  $A \in L(n)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows by repeating the proof of Proposition 4.11, previously replacing  $M_0(n)$  by  $L_0(n)$ , and  $M_0(n) \setminus \mathcal{R}(n)$  by  $L_0(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}(n)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6.** Let  $K \subset O(n)$  be a closed subgroup that acts non-transitively on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $K$ -equivariant map  $\chi_\varepsilon : L(n) \rightarrow L_0(n)$ ,  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $L(n)$ .

*Proof.* The proof goes as the one of Proposition 4.6, if we replace  $M(n)$  by  $L(n)$ ,  $M_0(n)$  by  $L_0(n)$ ,  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and the retraction  $r$  of (4.2) by the retraction  $r : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$  given in Corollary 3.9(2). We omit the details.  $\square$

In the same manner that Proposition 4.6 implies Corollary 4.7, we infer from Proposition 5.6 the following corollary:

**Corollary 5.7.** For every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,

- (1) the singleton  $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $L(n)^K$ ,
- (2) the class of  $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $L(n)/K$ .

**Proposition 5.8.** For every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ ,  $L_0(n)/K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold.

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.1,  $L(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR, which in turn implies that  $L(n) \in K$ -AR (see, e.g., [27]). Then, Theorem 2.3 implies that  $L(n)/K$  is an AR. Since  $L_0(n)/K$  is open in  $L(n)/K$  we conclude that  $L_0(n)/K$  is a locally compact ANR.

According to Toruńczyk’s Characterization Theorem [26, Theorem 1], it is enough to check that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist continuous maps  $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon, \tilde{h}_\varepsilon : L_0(n)/K \rightarrow L_0(n)/K$   $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $L_0(n)/K$  such that  $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon \cap \text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ .

Let  $f_\varepsilon$  and  $h_\varepsilon$  be the  $O(n)$ -maps constructed in Propositions 5.4 and 5.5, respectively. They induce continuous maps  $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon : L_0(n)K \rightarrow L_0(n)/K$  and  $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon : L_0(n)/K \rightarrow L_0(n)/K$ . Since  $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon = (\text{Im } f_\varepsilon)/K$ ,  $\text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon = (\text{Im } h_\varepsilon)/K$  and  $\text{Im } f_\varepsilon \cap \text{Im } h_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ , we infer that  $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon \cap \text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ . Since  $f_\varepsilon$  and  $h_\varepsilon$  are  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $L_0(n)$ , using inequality (2.2), we get that  $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon$  are  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $L_0(n)/K$ , as required.  $\square$

Now, Proposition 5.8, Corollary 5.7 and [26, §3] imply that  $L(n)/K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold if  $K \subset O(n)$  is a closed subgroup that acts non-transitively on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Since  $L(n)/K$  is compact and contractible, we infer from [28, Theorem 7.5.8] the following corollary:

**Corollary 5.9.** For every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the  $K$ -orbit space  $L(n)/K$  is a Hilbert cube. In particular,  $L(n)$  is a Hilbert cube.

Repeating the same steps used in the proof of Corollary 4.14, we can infer from Corollary 5.7 and Propositions 5.4 and 5.5 the following result:

**Corollary 5.10.** For any closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the  $K$ -fixed point set  $L(n)^K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.

Finally, likewise to the case of  $M(n)$ , we can infer from all previous results of this section that  $L(n)$  is a Hilbert cube endowed with an  $O(n)$ -action that satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $L(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR with a unique  $O(n)$ -fixed point,  $\mathbb{B}^n$ ,
- (2)  $L(n)$  is strictly  $O(n)$ -contractible to  $\mathbb{B}^n$ ,
- (3) For a closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , the set  $L(n)^K$  equals the singleton  $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$  if and only if  $K$  acts transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and  $L(n)^K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube whenever  $L(n)^K \neq \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ ,
- (4) For any closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , the  $K$ -orbit space  $L_0(n)/K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold.

These properties in combination with [10, Theorem 3.3], yield the following:

**Theorem 5.11.** The orbit space  $L(n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Banach-Mazur compactum  $\text{BM}(n)$ .

## 6. ORBIT SPACES OF $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In what follows we will denote by  $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the complement:

$$cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n) = cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus E(n).$$

In this section we shall prove the following main result:

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $K \subset O(n)$  be a closed subgroup that acts non-transitively on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Then:

- (1) the orbit space  $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold.
- (2) the orbit space  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold homeomorphic to  $(E(n)/K) \times Q$ .

By Corollary 3.9(2) we have an  $O(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism

$$cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong_{O(n)} L(n) \times E(n).$$

Under this homeomorphism,  $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  corresponds to the product  $E(n) \times L_0(n)$ , thus we have the following  $O(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism:

$$(6.1) \quad cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong_{O(n)} L(n) \times E(n).$$

In the sequel we will consider the following  $O(n)$ -invariant metric on the product  $E(n) \times L(n)$ :

$$D((A_1, E_1), (A_2, E_2)) = d_H(A_1, A_2) + d_H(E_1, E_2).$$

**Proposition 6.2.** For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non-transitively on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , there exists a  $K$ -equivariant map  $\eta : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which is  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Proposition 5.6, there exists a  $K$ -map,  $\chi_\varepsilon : L(n) \rightarrow L_0(n)$ , such that  $d_H(A, \xi(A)) < \varepsilon$  for every  $A \in L(n)$ . Then, the map

$$\eta = \chi_\varepsilon \times Id : L(n) \times E(n) \rightarrow L_0(n) \times E(n)$$

is a  $K$ -map such that

$$D(\eta(A, E), (A, E)) = d_H(\xi(A), A) < \varepsilon.$$

□

The map  $\eta$  of Proposition 6.2 induces a map

$$\tilde{\eta} : \frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K} \longrightarrow \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$$

which, by virtue of inequality (2.2), is  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $\frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K}$ . This yields the following corollary:

**Corollary 6.3.** For every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non-transitively on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $E(n)/K$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ . In particular,  $E(n)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** Let  $K \subset O(n)$  be a closed subgroup that acts non-transitively on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $\pi : L(n) \times E(n) \rightarrow E(n)$  be the second projection. Then the induced map  $\tilde{\pi} : (L(n) \times E(n))/K \rightarrow E(n)/K$  is proper and has contractible fibers.

*Proof.* Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(n) \times E(n) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & E(n) \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_2 \\ \frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & \frac{E(n)}{K} \end{array},$$

where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the respective  $K$ -orbit maps.

Properness of  $\tilde{\pi}$  easily follows from compactness of  $L(n)$  and  $K$ . That the fibers of  $\tilde{\pi}$  are contractible follows immediately from the fact that  $L(n)$  is  $O(n)$ -equivariantly contractible (see Proposition 5.2). □

**Theorem 6.5** (R. D. Edwards). Let  $M$  be a  $Q$  manifold and  $Y$  a locally compact ANR. If there exists a CE-map  $f : M \rightarrow Y$ , then  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $Y \times Q$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is a CE-map, then by a theorem of R. D. Edwards [14, Theorem 43.1], the product map

$$f \times Id : M \times Q \rightarrow Y \times Q$$

is a near homeomorphism. According to the Stability Theorem [14, Theorem 15.1],  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $M \times Q$ . Thus, we have the following homeomorphisms:

$$M \cong M \times Q \cong Y \times Q,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* (1) By (6.1),  $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is  $O(n)$ -homeomorphic to  $L_0(n) \times E(n)$ . This implies that the orbit spaces  $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  and  $\frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$  are homeomorphic. For this reason, it is enough to prove that  $\frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$  is a  $Q$ -manifold.

Suppose that  $\frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$  is equipped with the metric  $D^*$  induced by  $D$  as we have defined in equality (2.1).

By Proposition 5.1,  $L(n) \in O(n)$ -AR and by Corollary 3.9(2),  $E(n) \in O(n)$ -AR. Consequently, the product  $L_0(n) \times E(n)$  is a locally compact  $O(n)$ -ANR, which in turn implies that  $L_0(n) \times E(n) \in K$ -AR (see, e.g., [27]). Then, by Theorem 2.3, the  $K$ -orbit space  $\frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$  is a locally compact ANR.

Let  $f_\varepsilon$  and  $h_\varepsilon$  be the maps from Propositions 5.4 and 5.5, respectively. Consider the following maps:

$$f = f_\varepsilon \times Id : L_0(n) \times E(n) \rightarrow L_0(n) \times E(n),$$

$$h = h_\varepsilon \times Id : L_0(n) \times E(n) \rightarrow L_0(n) \times E(n),$$

where  $Id$  denotes the identity map of  $E(n)$ . Since  $f_\varepsilon$  and  $h_\varepsilon$  are  $O(n)$ -maps with disjoint images,  $f$  and  $h$  are so. Then they induce continuous maps

$$\tilde{f}, \tilde{h} : \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K} \rightarrow \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$$

which make the followings diagrams commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_0(n) \times E(n) & \xrightarrow{f} & L_0(n) \times E(n) & & L_0(n) \times E(n) & \xrightarrow{h} & L_0(n) \times E(n) \\ p \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K} & & \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K} \end{array}$$

Since,  $d_H(f_\varepsilon(A), A) < \varepsilon$ , we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} D(f(A, E), (A, E)) &= D((f_\varepsilon(A), E), (A, E)) \\ &= d_H(f_\varepsilon(A), A) < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that  $D(h(A, E), (A, E)) < \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $f$  and  $h$  are  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $L_0(n) \times E$ . Next, using inequality (2.2) we get that  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  are  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $\frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$ .

Finally, since  $\text{Im } \tilde{f} = (\text{Im } f)/K$ ,  $\text{Im } \tilde{h} = (\text{Im } h)/K$  and  $\text{Im } f \cap \text{Im } h = \emptyset$ , we infer that  $\text{Im } \tilde{f} \cap \text{Im } \tilde{h} = \emptyset$ . Consequently, due to Toruńczyk's Characterization Theorem ([26, Theorem 1]),  $\frac{L_0(n) \times E}{K}$  is a  $Q$ -manifold, as required.

(2) Since, by Corollary 3.9(2),  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L(n) \times E(n)$  are  $O(n)$ -homeomorphic, the  $K$ -orbits spaces  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  and  $\frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K}$  are homeomorphic. On the other hand,  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR ([8, Corollary 4.8]), which in turn implies that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \in K$ -AR (see, e.g., [27]). Then, Theorem 2.3 implies that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K \cong \frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K}$  is an AR. By the previous case (1),  $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold while its complement in  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is a  $Z$ -set (see Corollary 6.3). Now a result of Toruńczyk [26, §3] yields that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold too.

Furthermore, by Corollary 3.10,  $E(n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -AR, which in turn implies that  $E(n) \in K$ -AR (see, e.g., [27]). Then, according to Theorem 2.3, the orbit space  $E(n)/K$  is an AR.

Since, by Proposition 6.4, the map

$$\tilde{\pi} : \frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K} \rightarrow E(n)/K$$

is proper and has contractible fibers, it is a CE-map (see [14, Ch. XIII]) between AR's. Since  $\frac{cb(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} \cong \frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K}$  is a  $Q$ -manifold, Edwards' Theorem 6.5 yields that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is homeomorphic to  $(E(n)/K) \times Q$ , as required.  $\square$

## 7. ORBIT SPACES OF $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section we shall prove the following two main results:

**Theorem 7.1.** For every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non-transitively on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the punctured Hilbert cube.

**Theorem 7.2.** The orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to the open cone over  $\text{BM}(n)$ .

The proofs are preceded by some preparation.

**Lemma 7.3.** The map  $\nu$  defined in (4.1) is proper and has contractible fibers.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\nu$  is onto. Take a compact subset  $C \subset [0, \infty)$ . Let  $b$  be the supremum of  $C$  and denote by  $N_b$  the closed ball with the radius  $b$  centered at the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Clearly,  $\nu^{-1}(C)$  is a closed subset of  $cc(N_b)$ . According to [21, Theorem 2.2],  $cc(N_b)$  is compact, and thus,  $\nu^{-1}(C)$  is also compact. This shows that  $\nu$  is a proper map.

We show that for every point  $t \in [0, \infty)$  the inverse image  $\nu^{-1}(t)$  is contractible. Consider the homotopy  $H : \nu^{-1}(t) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \nu^{-1}(t)$  defined by the following formula:

$$(7.1) \quad H(A, s) = sN_t + (1-s)A, \quad A \in \nu^{-1}(t), \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

It is easy to see that  $H(A, s) \in \nu^{-1}(t)$ , and hence,  $H$  defines a (strict) homotopy of  $\nu^{-1}(t)$  to its point  $N_t \in \nu^{-1}(t)$ . Thus,  $\nu^{-1}(t)$  is contractible, as required.  $\square$

Since  $\nu$  is  $O(n)$ -invariant, it induces, for every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , a continuous map

$$\tilde{\nu} : cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

given by

$$\tilde{\nu}(K(A)) = \nu(A), \quad K(A) \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K.$$

**Proposition 7.4.**  $\tilde{\nu}$  is proper and has contractible fibers.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\tilde{\nu}$  is an onto map. Let us denote by  $p : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  the  $K$ -orbit map. Then, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} cc(\mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & [0, \infty) \\ p \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{\nu} & \\ \frac{cc(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} & & \end{array}$$

If  $C \subset [0, \infty)$  is a compact set, then

$$\tilde{\nu}^{-1}(C) = \{K(A) \mid \nu(A) \in C\} = p(\nu^{-1}(C))$$

which is compact because  $\nu$  is proper and  $p$  is continuous. This yields that  $\tilde{\nu}$  is a proper map.

To finish the proof, let us show that  $\tilde{\nu}^{-1}(t)$  is contractible for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Consider the homotopy  $H$  defined in (7.1). Observe that  $H$  is equivariant. Indeed, for every  $g \in O(n)$  one has:

$$(7.2) \quad H(gA, s) = sN_t + (1-s)gA = sgN_t + (1-s)gA = g(sN_t + (1-s)A) = gH(A, s).$$

Hence,  $H$  induces a homotopy  $\tilde{H} : \tilde{\nu}^{-1}(t) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}^{-1}(t)$  defined as follows:

$$\tilde{H}(K(A), s) = K(H(A, s)).$$

Clearly,  $\tilde{H}$  is a contraction to the point  $K(N_t)$ , which proves that  $\tilde{\nu}^{-1}(t)$  is contractible, as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.5.** The complement

$$\frac{cc(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} \setminus \frac{cb(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K}$$

is a  $Z$ -set in  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ .

*Proof.* For every positive  $\varepsilon$ , the map  $\zeta_\varepsilon : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by

$$\zeta_\varepsilon(A) = A_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(x, A) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

is an  $O(n)$ -equivariant map which is  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence, for every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  it induces a continuous map

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\varepsilon : cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K.$$

Since the Hausdorff metric  $d_H$  is  $O(n)$ -invariant it then follows that  $d_H$  induces a metric in  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  as defined in the equality (2.1). Then, by virtue of inequality (2.2), the map  $\tilde{\zeta}_\varepsilon$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map of  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ . This proves that the set

$$\frac{cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus cb(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} = \frac{cc(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} \setminus \frac{cb(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K}$$

is a  $Z$ -set in  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 7.1.* Since by Theorem 6.1,  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is a  $Q$ -manifold and the complement  $\frac{cc(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} \setminus \frac{cb(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K}$  is a  $Z$ -set, it follows from [26, §3] that  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is also a  $Q$ -manifold.

Next, since by Proposition 7.4, the map  $\tilde{\nu} : cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is proper and has contractible fibers, it is a CE-map (see [14, Ch. XIII]). Then we can use Edwards' Theorem 6.5 to conclude that  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is homeomorphic to  $[0, \infty) \times Q$ . As shown in the proof of [14, Theorem 12.2], the product  $[0, \infty) \times Q$  is homeomorphic to the punctured Hilbert cube, which completes the proof. □

Now we pass to the proof of Theorem 7.2.

The open cone over a topological space  $X$  is defined to be the quotient space

$$OC(X) = X \times [0, \infty) / X \times \{0\}.$$

We will denote by  $[A, t]$  the equivalence class of the pair  $(A, t) \in X \times [0, \infty)$  in this quotient space. It is evident that  $[A, t] = [A', t']$  iff  $t = 0 = t'$  or  $A = A'$  and  $t = t'$ . For convenience, the class  $[A, 0]$  will be denoted by  $\theta$ .

Denote the open cone over  $M(n)$  by  $\widetilde{M}(n)$ . The orthogonal group  $O(n)$  acts continuously on  $\widetilde{M}(n)$  by the following rule:

$$g * [A, t] = [gA, t].$$

**Proposition 7.6.** The hyperspace  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is  $O(n)$ -homeomorphic to  $\widetilde{M}(n)$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\Phi : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \widetilde{M}(n)$  by the formula:

$$\Phi(A) = \begin{cases} \theta, & \text{if } A = \{0\}, \\ [r(A), \nu(A)], & \text{if } A \neq \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\nu$  and  $r$  are the maps defined in (4.1) and (4.2), respectively.

Since  $r$  is  $O(n)$ -equivariant and  $\nu$  is  $O(n)$ -invariant, we infer that  $\Phi$  is  $O(n)$ -equivariant.

Clearly,  $\Phi$  is a bijection with the inverse map  $\Phi^{-1} : \widetilde{M}(n) \rightarrow cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  given by

$$\Phi^{-1}([A, t]) = tA.$$

Continuity of the restrictions  $\Phi|_{cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}}$  and  $\Phi^{-1}|_{\widetilde{M}(n) \setminus \{\theta\}}$  is evident. Let us prove the continuity of  $\Phi$  at  $\{0\}$  and the continuity of  $\Phi^{-1}$  at  $\theta$ , simultaneously.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $O_\varepsilon$  be the open  $\varepsilon$ -ball in  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  centered at  $\{0\}$ . Denote  $U_\varepsilon = \{[A, t] \in \widetilde{M}(n) \mid t < \varepsilon\}$ . Since  $U_\varepsilon$  is an open neighborhood of  $\theta$  in  $\widetilde{M}(n)$ , it is enough to prove that  $\Phi(O_\varepsilon) = U_\varepsilon$ .

If  $B \in O_\varepsilon$  then  $B \subset N(\{0\}, \varepsilon)$ , and hence,  $\nu(B) < \varepsilon$ . This proves that  $\Phi(B) = [r(B), \nu(B)] \in U_\varepsilon$ , implying that

$$(7.3) \quad \Phi(O_\varepsilon) \subset U_\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, if  $[A, t] \in U_\varepsilon$  then  $t < \varepsilon$ , implying that  $tA \subset N(\{0\}, \varepsilon)$ . This yields that for every  $a \in A$ ,  $d(ta, 0) < \varepsilon$ . In particular,  $0 \in N(tA, \varepsilon)$ , and hence,  $d_H(\{0\}, tA) < \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $\Phi^{-1}(U_\varepsilon) \subset O_\varepsilon$  and

$$(7.4) \quad U_\varepsilon = \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(U_\varepsilon)) \subset \Phi(O_\varepsilon).$$

Combining (7.3) and (7.4) we get the required equality  $\Phi(O(\{0\}, \varepsilon)) = U_\varepsilon$ . □

Since  $\Phi$  is an  $O(n)$ -homeomorphism, it induces a homeomorphism between the  $O(n)$ -orbit spaces,  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$  and  $\widetilde{M}(n)/O(n)$ . Thus, we have the following:

**Corollary 7.7.** The orbit spaces  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$  and  $\widetilde{M}(n)/O(n)$  are homeomorphic.

**Lemma 7.8.** For every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , the orbit space  $\widetilde{M}(n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the open cone over  $M(n)/K$ .

*Proof.* The map  $\Psi : \widetilde{M}(n)/K \rightarrow \text{OC}(M(n)/K)$  defined by the rule:

$$\Psi(K[A, t]) = [K(A), t],$$

is a homeomorphism. □

*Proof of Theorem 7.2.* According to Corollary 7.7 and Lemma 7.8, the orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to the open cone  $\text{OC}(M(n)/O(n))$ . By Corollary 4.16,  $M(n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Banach-Mazur compactum  $\text{BM}(n)$ , and hence,  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to  $\text{OC}(\text{BM}(n))$ , as required. □

**7.1. Conic structure of  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and related spaces.** It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $O(n)$ -homeomorphic to the open cone over  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . This conic structure induces a conic structure in  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as it was shown in Proposition 7.6.

Furthermore, the  $O(n)$ -homeomorphism between  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\widetilde{M}(n)$ , in combination with Lemma 7.8, yields the following:

**Theorem 7.9.** For every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , the  $K$ -orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the open cone  $\text{OC}(M(n)/K)$

On the other hand, if we restrict the  $O(n)$ -homeomorphism from Proposition 7.6 to  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$ , we get an  $O(n)$ -homeomorphism between  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$  and the cone over  $M(n)$ .

As in Lemma 7.8, we can prove that the  $K$ -orbit space of the cone over  $M(n)$  is homeomorphic to the cone over  $M(n)/K$  for every closed subgroup  $K$  of  $O(n)$ . This implies the following result:

**Proposition 7.10.** For every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$ , the  $K$ -orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the cone over  $M(n)/K$ .

**Corollary 7.11.** For every closed subgroup  $K \subset O(n)$  that acts non-transitively on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the  $K$ -orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.

*Proof.* By Proposition 7.10, the  $K$ -orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the cone over  $M(n)/K$ . Since  $K$  acts non-transitively on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , we infer from Corollary 4.13 that  $M(n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. Thus,  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/K$  is homeomorphic to the cone over the Hilbert cube, which according to [14, Theorem 12.2], is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube itself. □

On the other hand, Theorem 4.16 and Proposition 7.10 imply our final result:

**Corollary 7.12.** The orbit space  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/O(n)$  is homeomorphic to the cone over the Banach-Mazur compactum  $BM(n)$ .

It is well known that the Banach-Mazur compactum  $BM(n)$  is an absolute retract for all  $n \geq 2$  (see [5]) and the only compact absolute retract that is homeomorphic to its own cone is the Hilbert cube (see, e.g., [28, Theorem 8.3.2]). Therefore, it follows from Corollary 7.12 and Theorem 4.16 that Pelczyński's question of whether  $BM(n)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube is equivalent to the following one:

**Question 7.13.** Are the two orbit spaces  $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/O(n)$  and  $M(n)/O(n)$  homeomorphic?

In conclusion we would like to formulate two more questions suggested by the referee of this paper.

**Question 7.14.** What is the topological type of the pair  $(cc(\mathbb{R}^n), cb(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ?

For any  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , define

$$cc_{\geq k}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \dim A \geq k\}$$

and observe that  $cb(\mathbb{R}^n) = cc_{\geq n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $cc(\mathbb{R}^n) = cc_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Question 7.15.** What is the topological structure of the spaces  $cc_{\geq k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and of the complements  $cc_k(\mathbb{R}^n) = cc_{\geq k}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus cc_{\geq k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $0 \leq k < n$ ?

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are thankful to the referee for the careful reading of the manuscript and for drawing their attention to Questions 7.14 and 7.15.

## REFERENCES

1. H. Abels *Parallelizability of proper actions, global K-slices and maximal compact subgroups*, Math. Anal. 212 (1974), 1-19.
2. J. L. Alperin and R. B. Bell, *Groups and Representations*, Graduate texts in mathematics 162, Springer, New York, 1995.
3. S. A. Antonyan, *Retracts in the categories of G-spaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR. Ser. Mat. 15 (1980), 365-378; English transl. in: Soviet J. Contem. Math. Anal. 15 (1980), 30-43.
4. S. A. Antonyan, *Retraction properties of an orbit space*, Matem. Sbornik 137 (1988), 300-318; English transl. in: Math. USSR Sbornik 65 (1990), 305-321.
5. S. A. Antonyan, *The Banach-Mazur compacta are absolute retracts*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Math. 46 (1998), 113-119.

6. S. A. Antonyan, *The topology of the Banach-Mazur compactum*, Fund. Math. 1966, no. 3 (2000), 209-232.
7. S. A. Antonyan, *West's problem on equivariant hyperspaces and Banach-Mazur compacta*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 355 (2003), 3379-3404.
8. S. A. Antonyan, *Extending equivariant maps into spaces with convex structures*, Topology Appl., 153 (2005), 261-275.
9. S. A. Antonyan, *A characterization of equivariant absolute extensors and the equivariant Dugundji theorem*, Houston J. Math., Vol. 31, No. 2, (2005), 451-462.
10. S. A. Antonyan, *New topological models for Banach-Mazur compacta*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 146, no. 1, (2007), 5465-5473.
11. S. Banach, *Théorie des Opérations Linéaires*, Monografie Matematyczne, Warszawa, 1932.
12. G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
13. O. Bretscher, *Linear Algebra with Applications*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1997.
14. T. A. Chapman, *Lectures on Hilbert Cube Manifolds*, C. B. M. S. Regional Conference Series in Math., 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975.
15. L. Danzer, D. Laughwitz and H. Lenz, *Ueber das Löwnersche Ellipsoi und sein Analogon unter den einem Eikörper einbeschriebenen Ellipsoiden*, Arch. Math., 8 (1957), 214-219.
16. G. Hochschild, *The Structure of Lie Groups*, Holden-Day Inc., San Francisco, 1965.
17. F. John, *Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions*, in: F. John Collected Papers, Vol. 2 (ed. by J. Moser), Birkhäuser, 1985, 543-560.
18. I. Kaplansky, *Linear Algebra and Geometry. A second course*, Dover Publ., New York, 1974.
19. J. Lindenstrauss and V. D. Millman, *The local theory of normed spaces and its applications to convexity*, in: Handbook of Convex Geometry (P. M. Gruber and J. M. Wills, eds.), pp. 1149-1220, Elsevier Sci. Publ. B. V. (North-Holland), Amsterdam, 1993.
20. A. M. Macbeath, *A compactness theorem for affine equivalence-classes of convex regions*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, III (1951), 54-61.
21. S. B. Nadler, Jr., J. E. Quinn, and N.M. Stavrakas, *Hyperspaces of compact convex sets* Pacific J. Math. 83 (1979), 441-462.
22. R. Palais, *The classification of G-spaces*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, vol 36, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1960.
23. R. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups*, Ann. of Math 73 (1961), 295-323.
24. K. Sakai and Z. Yang, *The space of closed convex sets in Euclidean spaces with the fell topology*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci., Vol. 55, no.2 (2007), 139-143.
25. E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
26. H. Toruńczyk, *On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and characterization of Q-manifolds*, Fund. Math. 106 (1980), 31-40.
27. J. de Vries, *Topics in the theory of topological groups*, in: Topological Structures II, pp.291-304, Math. Centre Tracts, Vol. 116, Math. Centrum Amsterdam, 1979.
28. J. van Mill, *Infinite-Dimensional Topology: Prerequisites and Introduction*, North-Holland Math. Library 43, Amsterdam, 1989.
29. R. Webster, *Convexity*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1994.
30. J. E. West, *Open problems in infinite-dimensional topology*, in: Open Problems in Topology (ed. by J. van Mill and G. Reed), 524-586, North Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford-Tokyo, 1990.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, 04510 MÉXICO DISTRITO FEDERAL, MÉXICO

*E-mail address:* `antonyan@unam.mx`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, 04510 MÉXICO DISTRITO FEDERAL, MÉXICO

*E-mail address:* `nat@ciencias.unam.mx`